

# Price Points and Price Rigidity\*

**Daniel Levy\*\***

Bar-Ilan University  
[Levyda@mail.biu.ac.il](mailto:Levyda@mail.biu.ac.il)

**Dongwon Lee**

University of Minnesota  
[dlee@csom.umn.edu](mailto:dlee@csom.umn.edu)

**Haipeng (Allan) Chen**

University of Miami  
[hchen@miami.edu](mailto:hchen@miami.edu)

**Robert J. Kauffman**

University of Minnesota  
[rkauffman@csom.umn.edu](mailto:rkauffman@csom.umn.edu)

**Mark Bergen**

University of Minnesota  
[mbergen@csom.umn.edu](mailto:mbergen@csom.umn.edu)

**Last revision:** April 15, 2006

**JEL Codes:** E12, E31, L16, D80, M21, M30

**Key Words:** Price Points, 9-Ending Prices, Price Rigidity, Rational Inattention, Retail Pricing, E-Commerce

\* We are grateful to Jurek Konieczny, the discussant at the 2003 Central European University Conference on “Microeconomic Pricing and the Macroeconomy” for constructive comments. We thank the conference participants and especially Julio Rotemberg for insightful conversations, and Andrzej Baniak, Marco Bonomo, Alan Kackmeister, Attila Rاتفai, Harald Stahl, Christoph Weiss, Jonathan Willis and Alex Wolman for suggestions. Gershon Alperovich, Bob Barsky, Leif Danziger, and Jacob Paroush provided helpful comments. Portions of this work have been also presented at the 2004 INFORMS Conference on Information Systems and Technology in Denver, the 2004 International Conference on Systems Science in Washington, DC, the 2005 IS Research Symposium, the 2005 Maryland Symposium on Statistical Challenges in E-Commerce, the 2005 AMCIS Doctoral Consortium, and at the 2005 INFORMS Marketing Science Conference. We thank Chris Forman, Hemant Bhargava, D.J. Wu, Barrie Nault, Fred Riggins, Sri Narasimhan, Rahul Telang, Sunil Milthas, and other conference participants for helpful suggestions. Finally, we thank the seminar participants at Bar-Ilan University, European Central Bank, and the Departments of Marketing as well as Information and Decision Sciences at the University of Minnesota for comments, Manish Aggrawal, Ning Liu, and Avichai Snir for research assistance, and the University of Chicago and Dominick’s for data. Some parts of this manuscript were completed at the Monetary Policy and Research Division, at the Research Department of the European Central Bank, where Daniel Levy was a visiting scholar. He is grateful to the ECB Research Department members, and its management for the hospitality. Dongwon Lee’s research is supported by an eBRC Doctoral Support Award from Pennsylvania State University and the MIS Research Center at University of Minnesota. Rob Kauffman acknowledges partial support from the MIS Research Center. All authors contributed equally: we rotate co-authorship. The usual disclaimer applies.

\*\* Corresponding author: Daniel Levy, Department of Economics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, ISRAEL. Tel: + 972-3-531-8331, Fax: + 972-3-535-3180, Email: [Levyda@mail.biu.ac.il](mailto:Levyda@mail.biu.ac.il).

# Price Points and Price Rigidity

## *Abstract*

Although the existing literature in economics and marketing offers growing evidence on the use of price points, there is a lack of direct evidence on the link between price points and price rigidity. We examine this issue in the retail setting using two datasets. One is a large weekly transaction price dataset, covering 29 product categories over an eight-year period from a large US supermarket chain. The other is from the Internet, and includes daily prices over a two-year period for hundreds of consumer electronic products with a wide range of prices. Across the two datasets, we find that 9 is the most frequently used price-ending for the penny, dime, dollar and the ten-dollar digits. Exploring the relationship between price points and price rigidity in these datasets, we find that the most common price changes are in multiples of dimes, dollars, and ten-dollar increments. When we econometrically estimate the probability of a price change, we find that 9-ending prices are at least 24 percent (and as much as 73 percent) less likely to change in comparison to prices ending with other digits. We also find that the average size of change of 9-ending prices are systematically larger when they do change, in comparison to non 9-ending prices. This link between price points and price rigidity is remarkably robust across the wide variety of price levels, product categories, and retailers examined in this study. To make sense of these findings, we offer a behavioral explanation building on the emerging literature on rational inattention. We argue that consumers may find it rational to be inattentive to the rightmost digits of retail prices because of the costs of processing price information. In response, firms may find it profitable to set the rightmost digits at 9, and therefore, be rigid in their pricing around these price points. We conclude that price points are a significant source of retail price rigidity in our datasets, and that rational inattention can offer a plausible explanation for their presence.

“Buyers may use rules of thumb when searching for items and comparing prices... If firms are aware of this tendency by consumers, they may set prices so as to exploit the use of the rules.” **Kashyap (1995, p. 266)**

“I asked the best economist I know, at least for such things—my wife, if she recalled a price not ending in a 9 at our local grocery store. Not really, she said. Maybe sometimes there are prices ending in a 5, but not really.” **Jurek Konieczny (2003)**  
**Discussant Comments at the CEU Conference**

“Why are so many items sold for \$2.99 and so few for \$3.00? There is an enormous temptation to attribute this phenomenon to a mild form of irrationality in which consumers notice only the first digit of the price and are lulled into thinking that \$2.99 is ‘about \$2.00’ instead of ‘about \$3.00.’ In fact, this explanation seems so self-evident that even many economists believe it. For all I know, they could be right. Perhaps someday a careful analysis of such behavior will form the basis for a modified economics in which people are assumed to depart from rationality in certain systematic ways.” **Landsburg (1995, p. 15)**

## I. Introduction

The recent expansion in the popularity of new Keynesian ideas has led a growing number of economists to recognize the importance of understanding the sources of nominal price rigidity.<sup>1</sup> One of the recent additions to the list of existing theories of price rigidity is *price point theory*, which Blinder, et al. (1998) list among the leading twelve-theories of price rigidity. According to Blinder, et al. (1998, p. 26), practitioners’ “...belief in pricing points is part of the folklore of pricing...” Consistent with this observation, they offer evidence from interviews on the importance of price points. For example, in their study of 200 large U.S. firms, they find that 88% of the firms that operate in the retail industry report substantial importance of psychological price points in their pricing decisions.<sup>2</sup> Similarly, Kashyap (1995) observes that mail-order catalog prices have the tendency to be “stuck” at certain ending prices. He concludes that the existing theories of price rigidity are unable to explain the specific form of price rigidity he finds. As an alternative explanation he offers the idea of price points, which was suggested by the pricing managers of the mail order companies.

As Blinder et al. (1998, pp. 25–26) note, however, a major difficulty with price point theory is that not much is known about the actual practical importance of price points and their relationship to price rigidity. Price points, although of interest by themselves (e.g., Landsburg, 1995), will be particularly important for macroeconomics if they can be shown to contribute to price rigidity, across a wide selection of products and retailers. Although the existing literature in economics and marketing offers growing evidence on the use of price points (Friedman, 1967; Schindler and Kirby, 1997; Anderson and Simester, 2003; Schindler and Bizer, 2005), there is a

<sup>1</sup> See, for example, Blinder, et al. (1998), Caplin (1993), Warner and Barsky (1995), Lach and Tsiddon (1996), Slade (1998), Ball and Romer (1990, 2003), Golosov and Lucas (2003), Davis and Hamilton (2004), Basu (2005), Dotsey and King (2005), Rotemberg (1987, 2005), and the studies cited therein. For recent surveys of this literature, see Kackmeister (2002), Willis (2003), and Wolman (2006).

<sup>2</sup> See also the survey studies of the European Central Bank’s Inflation Persistence Network (IPN), including Álvarez and Hernando (2004), Aucremanne and Dhyne (2005), Baumgartner, et al. (2005), and Lünemann and Mathä (2005). These are summarized in Fabiani, et al. (2004).

lack of direct evidence on the link between price points and price rigidity. Indeed, the literature documenting a link between price points and price rigidity using the US data is limited to Blinder, et al.'s (1998) interview study and Kashyap's (1995) study of catalogue prices.

We fill this gap in the literature by examining the relationship between price points and price rigidity in the retail setting using two large datasets. One is a large weekly price dataset, covering 29 product categories over an eight-year period from a major Midwestern U.S. supermarket chain that includes actual transaction prices, as recorded by the stores' cash register scanners. The second dataset comes from the Internet and includes daily prices over a two-year period for hundreds of consumer electronic goods with a wide range of prices, such as music CDs, digital cameras, DVD players, notebook PCs, etc., from 293 different e-retailers. Taken together, the two datasets cover a diverse set of products, a wide range of prices, different retail formats, retailers and time periods. We find that across the two datasets, 9 is the most popular price point for the penny, dime, dollar and the ten-dollar digits.

To explore the link between price points and price rigidity in the two datasets, we begin by examining the patterns of price changes. We find that the most common price changes are in multiples of dimes, dollars, and ten-dollar increments—an outcome that is consistent with efforts to keep the terminal digits at 9. Given the particular significance of 9-ending prices in our data, we econometrically estimate the probability of a price change and find that 9-ending prices are at least 24 percent (and as much as 73 percent) less likely to change in comparison to prices ending with other digits. We also find that the average size of change of 9-ending prices are systematically larger when they do change, in comparison to non 9-ending prices. These findings further underscore the extent of the retail price rigidity that is created by 9-ending price points.

To make sense of these findings, we offer a behavioral explanation building on the emerging literature on rational inattention. We suggest that 9-ending prices can be the outcome of firms' optimal reaction to consumers' *rational inattention*. Consumers may find it rational to be inattentive to the rightmost digits of retail prices because they face large amounts of information which are costly to gather and process, and have time, and information processing capacity constraints. In response to this inattentiveness, firms may find it profitable to set those digits to the highest possible number, 9. This seems to capture the ideas proposed by Kashyap (1995) and Konieczny (2003), and offers a plausible resolution to the puzzle posed by Landsburg (1995). Our findings, thus, suggest that price points are a substantial source of retail price rigidity in the datasets we study, and that rational inattention might offer a plausible behavioral explanation for the use of price points and their link to price rigidity.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We describe the two datasets in section II. In section III, we document the popularity of 9-endings by assessing the frequency distribution of price-endings in the two datasets. In section IV, we examine the frequency distribution of price changes by size and assess the extent to which they preserve the 9-endings. In section V, we estimate econometrically the effect of 9-endings on the retail price rigidity. In section VI, we study the link between price points and the size of price changes. In section VII, we offer a rational inattention explanation for the 9-ending pricing and price rigidity patterns we find. We conclude in Section 7 by summarizing the findings and discussing their potential implications.

## II. Two Datasets

Blinder et al. (1998, p. 177) suggest that the most obvious prediction of Kashyap's (1995) price point theory is that price points should be most important to firms in retailing, and more generally, to firms that sell mostly to consumers rather than to other businesses. Following this line of thought, we examine two different *retail price* datasets. One is Dominick's weekly transaction price data for 29 product categories over an eight-year period. The other contains daily prices from the Internet on hundreds of products varying from music CDs, to DVDs, to hard disks, to notebook PCs. The two datasets cover a variety of products, a wide price range, and different retail formats. In addition, although Dominick's is a retail grocery chain where prices are mostly set on a chain-wide basis at the corporate headquarters, our Internet data come from 293 different retailers presumably employing different pricing-decision models. Thus, our use of two datasets allows us to draw general conclusions that are not specific to a retail format, retailer, product, or price range.

Dominick's Finer Food (DFF) is one of the largest retail supermarket chains in the Chicago metropolitan area, operating about 100 stores with a market share of about 25%. The pricing practices of Dominick's are similar to those employed by large U.S. retail supermarket chains. The chain we study is representative of a major class of the retail grocery trade. The data consist of up to 400 weekly observations of retail prices in 29 different product categories, covering the period from September 14, 1989 to May 8, 1997. In Table 1, we provide descriptive statistics for weekly retail price observations by each product category contained in this dataset. The retail prices are the actual transaction prices, i.e., the price customers paid at the cash register each week as recorded by the chain's scanners. If an item was on sale, then the price data we have reflect the sale price. Also, the retail prices reflect retailer's coupons or discounts, but not manufacturers' coupons. In the analysis described below, we have used all the

data available from all stores, yielding a total of over 98 million weekly price observations. Dominick's data also contains a binary variable indicating whether a given product on a given week was on sale or on promotion. We use a probabilistic model that incorporates this information to estimate a model which accounts for the effect of sales on the likelihood of a price change. See Chevalier et al. (2003) for more details about Dominick's data.

The Internet data were obtained through the use of a price information gathering agent. It was programmed to systematically mine price data from [www.BizRate.com](http://www.BizRate.com), a popular price comparison site, and ran daily from 3:00 a.m. to 5:00 a.m. From a list of products available at BizRate.com, we generated a large sample of product IDs using stratified proportionate random sampling (Wooldridge, 2002). The software agent then automatically built a panel of selling prices given the product IDs.<sup>3</sup> The Internet data consist of 743 daily price observations of 474 personal electronic products in ten product categories, from 293 different Internet-based retailers, over a period of more than two years from March 26, 2003 to April 15, 2005. The categories include music CDs, movie DVDs, video games, notebook PCs, personal digital assistants (PDAs), computer software, digital cameras and camcorders, DVD players, PC monitors, and hard drives.<sup>4</sup> Table 2 presents descriptive statistics of the Internet price data.

### III. Evidence on the Popularity of 9-Ending Prices

We begin by presenting results on the frequency distribution of price-endings in the two datasets. In the analysis of Dominick's data, our focus is on 9¢ and 99¢ price-endings because

---

<sup>3</sup> Sometimes the Internet-based sellers' (especially small firms') web sites were inaccessible or the required price information was not available. Some prices, therefore, are missing in our original dataset. We used the following procedure to handle such missing data. If 10% or more observations were missing for a product in a store, then that series was excluded from the data altogether. If less than 10% of the data was missing, then we examined if the prices for the day before and the day after were the same. If they were the same, then the software agent automatically filled in for the missing data with that price. Otherwise, the agent filled in for the missing data with the price for the day after. While we recognize that this is an arbitrary procedure, there are only 0.075% missing prices in the entire dataset, and thus it is unlikely to affect our results significantly.

<sup>4</sup> We selected these categories because they are the most popular categories on the Internet. In addition, the products in these categories are sold by a large number of stores. For example, in the digital cameras category, "Canon EOS Digital Rebel XT" is sold by 63 stores. The selection of products was random. For example, in the category of DVDs, we chose products from multiple sub-categories (e.g., action, drama, comedy, children, etc.). Similarly, in the music CDs category, we chose from many different sub-categories (e.g., blues, jazz, country, heavy metal, etc.). However, in some categories (e.g., notebook PCs, hard drives, PDAs), we included all the products available. In other categories (e.g., DVD players, digital cameras, PC monitors, software, video games), we randomly chose products from all sub-categories. For example, in DVD players, we chose half of the products from standard DVD players while the other half came from the more expensive DVD/VCR combo players. In digital cameras and camcorders, we chose half from regular digital cameras while the other half came from digital camcorders. In PC monitors, we chose half from CRT and flat CRT models, and the other half from LCD and TFT. In the software category, we chose products from multiple genres of software (e.g., educational software, operating systems, programming software, utility software, etc.). Similarly, in video games, we included multiple genres (adventure, action, sports, etc.). See Figures R8a–R8i in the appendix for sample price series.

the overwhelming majority of the prices in retail grocery stores are well below \$10.00.<sup>5</sup> In the Internet data, the prices range from \$5.49 to \$6,478.00, with the average prices in different categories spanning \$12.90 to \$1,694.58. Given the wider price range of the Internet data, therefore, we focus on 9¢ and 99¢ price-endings, as well as on other 9-ending prices in *both* the cents and the dollars digits, including \$9, \$9.99, \$99, and \$99.99.

In Figure 1, we report the frequency distribution of the last digit for the entire Dominick's dataset. If a digit's appearance as a price-ending was random, then with ten possible endings, we should see 10% of the prices ending with each. As the figure indicates, however, about 65% of the prices end with 9. The next most popular price-ending is 5, accounting for about 11% of all price endings. Only a small proportion of the prices end with the other digits. The pattern is very similar at the category level, with 9 as the most popular price-ending for all categories except cigarettes.<sup>6, 7</sup>

Next, we consider the frequency distribution of the last two digits. With two digits, there are 100 possible endings, 00¢, 01¢, ..., 98¢, and 99¢. Thus, with a random distribution, the probability of each ending is only 1%. According to Figure 2, however, most prices end with either 09¢, 19¢, ..., or 99¢. This is not surprising since 9 is the dominant single-digit ending. But of these, more than 15% of the prices end with 99¢. In contrast, only 4% to 6% of the prices end with 09¢, 19¢, ..., and 89¢, each. We found a similar pattern for individual categories.<sup>8</sup>

Figure 3 displays the frequency distribution of the last digit in the Internet data. 9 is the most popular terminal digit (33.4%), followed by 0 (24.1%), and 5 (17.4%). The frequency distribution of the last two digits exhibits a similar pattern, with 99¢ as the most popular price-ending (26.7%), followed by 00¢ (20.3%), 95¢ (13.8%), and 98¢ (4.8%). See Figure 4.

As mentioned above, the Internet dataset also includes some high-price product categories, which allows us to examine price-endings in dollar digits as well. In Figure 5, we present the frequency distribution of the last dollar digit in the Internet data. According to the figure, 9 is the most popular ending for the dollar digit, with \$9 price-endings over-represented

---

<sup>5</sup> Indeed, according to Dutta, et al. (1999) and Levy, et al. (1997, 1998), the average price of an item in large U.S. supermarket chains during 1991–92 was about \$1.70. According to Bergen, et al. (2003), the figure increased to \$2.08 by 2001.

<sup>6</sup> To save space, most of figures and tables on individual product categories are included in the appendix. We shall note that the results for individual product categories are similar to the aggregate results we report here.

<sup>7</sup> The products in the Beer and Cigarettes categories in the Dominick's data are subject to various tax rules and other government regulations that could potentially skew the results. We, therefore, do not discuss their results.

<sup>8</sup> With the exception of five categories (canned tuna, cigarettes, front-end-candies, oatmeal, and paper towels), the 99¢ ending prices are the most common than other two-digit ending prices. Even in the five categories where 99¢ ending is not the most popular, it is still very common and ranks within the top five price-endings among the 100 possible ending. See Figures R2a–R2c in the appendix.

with 36.1%, followed by \$4 price-endings with 9.9%, and \$5 price-endings with 9.2%. A similar pattern emerges for the last two dollar digits as indicated by Figure 6. Not surprisingly, the last two dollar digits of most prices contain 9, such as \$99, \$89, and \$09. But more prices end with \$99 than any other 9 price-endings. Moreover, almost 10% end with \$99 among the 100 possible dollar endings (i.e., \$0 through \$99).

We also examined the frequency distribution of the last three digits of prices in the Internet data.<sup>9</sup> According to Table 3, \$9.99 is the most popular ending for the last three digits (13.2%), followed by \$9.00 (10.0%), and \$9.95 (4.9%).<sup>10</sup> When we examine the last four digits of the prices (last column of Table 4), \$99.99 is the most popular ending for the last four digits (3.47%), followed by \$99.00 (3.46%), and \$19.99 (2.16%).<sup>11</sup>

Individual product categories in our Internet data exhibit large variations in the use of 9 price-endings.<sup>12</sup> For example, for the dollar-digit, \$3, \$4 and \$5 price-endings are the most common for the categories of CDs and DVDs because prices of CDs and DVDs are often between \$13 and \$16. And for last two digits the \$99-ending is not common at all in those two categories and the category of video games. Similarly, for these three categories, \$99.99 did not make it to the top-ten list for the frequency distribution of the last four digits of prices. See Table 4. However, average prices in these three product categories are far less than \$100 (i.e., \$13.46 for CDs, \$27.43 for DVDs, and \$30.83 for video games), and the maximum prices of CDs (i.e., \$26.98) and video games (i.e., \$57.99) are also well below \$100. So, it isn't surprising that we do not see a lot of 9-endings for the dollar and ten-dollar digits in those product categories.

To summarize, in both datasets, 9 is the most popular terminal digit overall. But the popularity of 9 is not limited to the penny-digit. Rather, it is present in the dime, dollar, and ten-dollar digits too. The fact that our data include a variety of products with wide-ranging prices and different retail formats, further underscores the use of 9 as a terminal digit in our datasets.

#### **IV. Frequency Distribution of Price Changes by Size**

Having documented the dominance of 9 as the terminal digit in both datasets, we next

---

<sup>9</sup> So far we have used figures to present some of the results. However the results on the use of 9 for the last three and four digits in the Internet data, and some of the results in section 4 on price changes in the Internet data, are presented only in tables, as they are too numerous to be plotted. For example, there are 1,000 possible endings for the last three digits and 10,000 possible endings for the last four digits.

<sup>10</sup> Note that there are 1,000 possible endings.

<sup>11</sup> Note that there are 10,000 possible endings.

<sup>12</sup> For results on individual product categories in the Internet data, see Figures R3 and R4 in the appendix for the frequency distribution of the last digit and last two digits, and Figures R5 and R6 for the frequency distribution of the last dollar digit and last two dollar digits.



focus on the relationship between 9-ending and price rigidity. As Kashyap (1995) points out, the frequency distributions of price points will be of particular interest to macroeconomists and monetary economists if they have dynamic consequences. Our goal is to assess to what extent the specific price point, 9, that we have identified in our two datasets may be contributing to the retail price rigidity.

We begin by considering the distribution of price changes in Dominick's data. Figure 7 displays the frequency distribution of price changes in cents. Although the actual price changes occasionally go over \$1.00, there are few. We, therefore, limit the analysis to price changes of up to \$1.00. According to the figure, price changes that are multiples of dimes are most common. In fact, over 35% of the price changes are multiples of 10 cents.<sup>13</sup>

In the Internet data, the observed price range is much wider and consequently we observe a much wider range for price changes as well. The price changes vary in magnitude from 1¢ to \$1,568, but the most common changes are in multiples of dollars—and consequently, in multiples of dimes. As shown in Table 5, among the top ten most common changes in this dataset, eight are multiples of dollars, and nine are multiples of dimes. The only exception is 1¢ which ranks 10<sup>th</sup>. Thus, similar to Dominick's dataset, the sizes of Internet price changes are such that they preserve the 9-endings.

Because of the wider range of price changes found in the Internet data, the ten most common price changes account for less than 30% of all price changes. To identify the prevalence of price changes in multiples of dimes, dollars, and tens of dollars, we categorize price changes based on how many digits in a price are affected by a price change (i.e., whether it affects the penny digit only, the penny and dime digits, or the penny, dime and dollar digits, etc.). For example, if we focus on price changes affecting the penny digit only, we can group all possible price changes into ten categories: those that change a price by 0¢, 1¢, ..., 9¢. In the first group will be price changes in multiples of dimes (excluding 0¢ where a price does not change); in the second group, 1¢, 11¢, ..., 91¢, \$1.01, ..., etc. Similarly, we can group price changes into 100 groups based on how they affect the penny and the dime digits, one of which will be the category into which all price changes in multiples of dollars fall (again, excluding 0¢ where price does not change). Finally, we can group price changes into 1,000 groups based on how they

---

<sup>13</sup> Category level data indicate some cross-category variation, although in general they are consistent with the above finding. That is, in most categories, price changes in multiples of 10 cents are more common than other price changes. See Figures R7a–R7c in the appendix.

affect the penny, dime and dollar-digits, one of which will be the category into which all price changes in tens of dollars fall (again excluding 0¢, where price does not change).

When we categorize price changes in this manner, we find that price changes in multiples of dimes are the most frequent among the ten possible changes to the penny digit, accounting for 55.12% of all price changes. In addition, we find that among the 100 possible changes to the penny and dime digits, the most popular ones are multiples of dollars, which account for more than 42.86% of all changes. Finally, among the 1,000 possible changes to the last three digits, multiples of ten dollars are the most common, accounting for 9.60% of all changes. Similar results are obtained for individual product categories. Changes in multiples of dimes and in multiples of dollars are the most common for all ten product categories in our dataset. Changes in multiples of ten dollars are the most common for seven product categories (hard drives, software, PDAs, DVD players, PC monitors, digital cameras, and notebook PCs).<sup>14</sup> Based on the above results, we conclude that when prices change, they most often change in multiples of dimes, multiples of dollars, or even in tens of dollars. Consequently, the terminal digits are kept at 9 even after a price change. This indicates that terminal prices are “stuck” at 9.

## V. The Effect of Price Points on Price Rigidity

To explore the contribution of 9-ending prices to price rigidity, we use a binomial logit model to estimate the price change probabilities. A logit model maintains logical consistency with the estimation of a 0/1 dependent variable, and provides an effective means to gauge the marginal effects via the odds ratio (Agresti, 2002, Greene, 2003, Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000). The model which we estimate using the method of maximum likelihood is given by

$$(1) \quad \ln(q/(1-q)) = a + bD_{9-Ending} + cD_{Sale} + e_t$$

where  $q$  is the probability of a price change,  $D_{9-Ending}$  is a 9-Ending dummy variable which equals 1 if the price ends with 9 (i.e., 9¢-Ending or 99¢-Ending) and 0 otherwise, and  $D_{Sale}$  is a Sale dummy variable which equals 1 if the product is on sale in a given week and 0 otherwise. The regression equation includes the Sale dummy because, according to Schindler (2003) and Anderson and Simester (2003), prices ending with 9 may be related to sales, and sale prices are

---

<sup>14</sup> For hard drives, changes in multiples of ten dollars are the fourth most popular category. For CDs and DVDs, they are *not* ranked within the top 20, which is because the prices for both products are low and thus the price changes rarely go up to \$10 or more.

more likely to change than regular prices.<sup>15</sup> Indeed, if we consider a sample series of Frozen Concentrate Orange Juice, Heritage House, 12 oz. (UPC = 3828190029 from Store No. 78), which is plotted in Figure 8, it is clear that sale prices are always reversed, unless there is a change in the list price, which is rare. For example, in the sample of 400 observations shown in this figure, there are only about 14–16 changes in the list price. By including the *Sale* dummy, we account for any potential effect of sales when estimating price change probabilities.

The estimation results for Dominick’s data are reported in Tables 6. In the table, we report the estimated coefficient of each dummy along with the odds ratio that the estimated coefficients imply. The coefficient estimate on the *9-Ending* dummy is negative, and the coefficient estimate on the *Sale* dummy is positive for *all 27 product categories* as expected (all *p*-values < 0.0001). The odds ratios, which equal  $e^{\text{COEFFICIENT}}$ , are all smaller than 1 for the *9-Ending* dummy, indicating that prices that end with 9¢ are less likely to change than prices that do not end with 9¢. On average, prices that end with 9¢ are more than 40% less likely to change than prices that do not end with 9¢. Perhaps not surprisingly, sale prices are about 65 times more likely to change than regular prices.

When we examine the 99¢-ending prices, we obtain the same pattern of results. Specifically, the coefficient estimate on the *99¢-Ending* dummy is negative and significant for *all 27 product categories*. See Table 6. Therefore, the odds ratios are all smaller than 1 for the *99¢-Ending* dummy. This indicates that prices that end with 99¢ are less likely to change. In fact, on average, prices that end with 99¢ are 24% less likely to change than prices that do not end with 99¢. Also, all product categories showed positive and significant coefficients on the *Sale* dummy, and sale prices are about 67 times more likely to change than regular prices.

Next, we estimate the same logit regression model for the Internet data, but now we use 9¢, 99¢, \$9, \$9.99, \$99, and \$99.99, in turn, as the independent variable. We did not include a *Sale* dummy in these regressions as such information was not available in our data. The results of the logit regression for each independent variable are reported in Table 7. Similar to what we found with Dominick’s data, 9-ending prices are less likely to change than other prices. Overall, 9¢-ending prices are 31.90%, 99¢-ending prices 44.59%, \$9-ending prices 45.89%, \$99-ending prices 59.74%, \$9.99-ending prices 58.90%, and \$99.99-ending prices are 72.87%, less likely to

---

<sup>15</sup> Our test here is a conservative one, because according to Dominick’s website, “this [sales] variable is not set by DFF on consistent basis (I.e., if the variable is set it indicates a promotion, if it is not set, there might still be a promotion that week).” (<http://gsbwww.uchicago.edu/kilts/research/db/dominicks/movement>). Therefore, including this dummy in the regression only takes care of the sales effect partially.

change than other prices. We obtained similar results for each product category. Although music CDs and video games showed some unexpected results, in 95% of all possible cases for the category-level analysis, the effect of 9 price-endings on the probability of price changes is negative and significant. Thus, we find that prices tend to be “stuck” at 9-endings, making them more rigid: 9-ending prices are 24–73 percent less likely to change than non 9-ending prices.

## **VI. The Effect of Price Points on the Size of Price Change**

If 9-ending prices are less likely to change in comparison to non 9-ending prices, then the average size of change of 9-ending prices should be larger when they do change, in comparison to non 9-ending prices.<sup>16</sup> This assumes that the cost of a price change, i.e., the menu cost, is the same regardless of the price ending, which is indeed the case according to the menu cost estimates of Levy, et al. (1997 and 1998) and Dutta, et al. (1999) for large US supermarket and drugstore chains, respectively.

In Tables 8 and 9, we report the average size of price changes for 9¢-ending and non 9¢-ending prices, and for 99¢ and non 99¢-ending prices, respectively, in the Dominick’s data. According to Table 8, in 23 of the 27 categories, the average change is indeed higher for 9¢-ending prices. The exceptions are the categories of frozen dinners, frozen entrees, and frozen juices (perhaps because they have short expiration periods), and front-end candies. The average price change is 47¢ if the price ends with 9¢, in contrast to 37¢ change when it does not end with 9¢, i.e., 27 percent difference.

The findings obtained for the 99¢-ending prices are even stronger. According to Table 9, in 26 of the 27 categories (frozen entrees being the only exception), the average change is higher for 99¢-ending prices. The differences for individual categories are also bigger here in comparison to Table 8. The average price change is 57¢ if the price ends with 99¢, in contrast to 42¢ change when it does not end with 99¢, i.e., 35 percent difference.

In Tables 10–15 we report the findings for the internet data. Because of the wider price range, we consider here price endings with 9¢, 99¢, \$9, \$9.99, \$99, and \$99.99, as before. The results are as follows. For 9¢-ending prices (Table 10): in 8 out of the 10 categories (the exceptions being PDAs and Notebooks PCs), the average size of the price change is higher by about 12 percent if the price ends with 9¢ in comparison to non 9¢ ending prices. For 99¢-ending prices (Table 11): in 9 out of the 10 categories (the exception being PDAs), the average size of the price change is higher by about 29 percent if the price ends with 99¢ in comparison to non

---

<sup>16</sup> We are grateful to Bob Barsky for suggesting this analysis.

99¢-ending prices. For \$9-ending prices (Table 12): in 9 out of the 10 categories (the exception being Music CDs), the average size of the price change is higher by about 97 percent if the price ends with \$9 in comparison to non \$9-ending prices. For \$9.99-ending prices (Table 13): in all 10 categories, the average size of the price change is higher by about 53 percent if the price ends with \$9.99 in comparison to non \$9.99-ending prices. For \$99-ending prices (Table 14): in all 8 categories (the categories of Music CDs and Video Games contain no prices with \$99-ending), the average size of the price change is higher by about 165 percent if the price ends with \$99 in comparison to non \$99-ending prices. For \$99.99-ending prices (Table 15): in all 8 categories (the categories of Music CDs and Video Games contain no prices with \$99.99-ending), the average size of the price change is higher by about 150 percent if the price ends with \$99.99 in comparison to non \$99.99-ending prices.

Thus, the results are very robust in the internet data as well: in 52 of the 56 cases, the average size of the price change is higher if the price ends with a 9-ending price point. Moreover, in many individual cases the price differences are quite substantial. For example, for 9¢ price endings, the average price changes of the 9¢-ending and non 9¢-ending prices are \$1.30 and \$1.01, respectively, a difference of about 30 percent. In some cases, the differences are even larger. These findings are consistent with our predictions: because 9-ending prices are less likely to change, the average size of change of 9-ending prices are systematically larger when they do change, in comparison to non 9-ending prices. These findings, therefore, further underscore the extent of the retail price rigidity that is created by 9-ending price points.

## **VII. Making Sense of Ignoring Cents – Rational Inattention**

Having documented overwhelming popularity of 9-ending price points in our two datasets, and having demonstrated that the price points lead to a substantial degree of price rigidity, we next want to try and explain these findings. As Kashyap (1995) notes, the existing literature does not offer a “tight” theoretical explanation for the popularity of price points and for its link to price rigidity. We try to fill this gap in the literature by offering a behavioral explanation which builds on the emerging literature on rational inattention.

We suspect that the frequent use of 9-ending price points and their link to price rigidity may be an outcome of firms’ optimal reaction to consumers’ rational inattention to the rightmost digits of prices. The need for rational inattention by consumers arises for at least two reasons. First, consumers face huge amounts of information, which is costly to gather, absorb, and process. Second, they have time, resource, and cognitive information processing-capacity

constraints.<sup>17</sup> In Sims' (1998, pp. 320–321) words, “Because individuals have many things to think about and limited time, they can devote only limited intellectual resources to... data-gathering and analysis. We know from personal experience that many data that we could look up daily, and that are in principle relevant to our optimal economic decision-making, do not in fact influence our behavior, except when they change dramatically, or perhaps when we occasionally set aside some time to re-assess our portfolio.”

Consumers with limited time and cognitive information processing-capacity constraints, who face complex environments and who need to assess and compare the prices of dozens and sometimes hundreds of products, will likely use time-saving devices such as rules-of-thumb, as suggested by Kashyap (1995). In particular, time-pressed consumers might rationally choose to ignore some price information.<sup>18</sup> Specifically, we argue that the benefit of paying attention to each additional digit of a price declines as we move from left to right. Since people process multi-digit numeric information, including prices, from left-to-right (Schindler and Kirby, 1997; Hinrichs, et al. 1982; Poltrock and Schwartz, 1984; and Lambert, 1975), the effort needed to recognize, process, and recall numeric information increases as the number of digits increases. Thus, the marginal cost of processing each additional digit increases. Because the marginal benefit of the last digit is the lowest but its marginal cost is the highest, it will be least valuable among all digits. The last digit, thus, will offer the consumer the lowest net marginal value giving him incentive to ignore it.<sup>19</sup> A price-setter that knows that her customers ignore the last digit will have incentive to make it as high as possible, setting it to 9. Rational consumers expect this and thus 9-ending will be an equilibrium outcome (Basu, 1997).

This is illustrated in Figure 9. Under rational inattention, there will be a range of inattention along the demand curve. In this price range, say  $\pm 10\text{¢}$ , consumers are inattentive and thus they do not respond to price changes. The optimal pricing strategy in this case will be to set

---

<sup>17</sup> These time, resource, and information processing-capacity constraints have been explored by many authors in economics and marketing, under the labels of thinking costs (Shugan 1980), reoptimization costs (Roufalgas 1994), information processing costs (Sims 1998), information gathering costs (Ball and Mankiw 1994; Ball 2000; Zbaracki et al. 2004), decision-making costs that result from either costs of acquiring information or costs of reoptimization (Mankiw and Reis 2002; Ball et al. 2005; Reis 2004 and 2005; Zbaracki et al. 2004), or limited channel capacity for absorbing information (Woodford 2001; Adam 2004; Sims 1998, 2003). See also, Akerlof et al. (2000), Ameriks et al. (2003, 2004), Gabaix et al. (2005), and Rotemberg (2003, 2005).

<sup>18</sup> This is consistent with the recent laboratory experiment findings that people tend to drop the rightmost digit in processing price information (Schindler and Bizer, 2005). This kind of selective consumer inattention to price information is consistent with evidence from surveys of consumer behavior in this industry. See, *Progressive Grocer*, November 1974, p. 39; *Progressive Grocer*, February 1964, pp. C104–C106; and Gabor and Granger (1961). This behavior is consistent also with the marketing literature in consumer behavior on “just noticeable differences” (Monroe, 1970 and 2001), where consumers do not react to small changes in prices because they do not “notice” these changes, as documented by Kalyanaram and Little (1994) for sweetened and unsweetened drinks.

<sup>19</sup> As Julio Rotemberg has noted, our argument holds even if the marginal cost remains constant.

the price at the highest point in the vertical segment of the demand curve, which will be 9.<sup>20</sup>

Consumers' incentive to be attentive increases and therefore the optimality of 9 decreases as we move from the rightmost digits to the left. This implies that for the rightmost two digits we should still see more 99¢ endings than 89¢, 79¢, ..., 09¢ endings. But the dominance of 99¢ over 89¢, 79¢, etc. should be weaker than the dominance of 9¢ over 8¢, 7¢, and so on. This process will continue towards the dollar-digit as well as the ten-dollar digit. Indeed, this is what we observe in both Dominick's data (65% for 9¢ vs. 15% for 99¢) and our Internet data (31.9% for 9¢, 26.3% for 99¢, 13.5% for \$9.99, and 3.9% for \$99.99).

Now consider the implications of rational inattention for price rigidity. Rational inattention suggests that there will be a discontinuity in price adjustment within the range of rational inattention. When changes in market conditions are not large enough to warrant a price change larger than the range of inattention based on the ignored digit, firms might rationally choose not to respond. For example, when the price-setter is facing a price change decision that requires a price increase from \$1.79 to \$1.80, the increase will not be optimal if the customers ignore the last digit and perceive the change to be bigger (i.e., as a 10¢ increase) than it actually is. Similarly, a price decrease from \$1.79 to \$1.78 will have no effect on the quantity demanded if consumers ignore the last digit. 9¢-ending prices will, therefore, lead to price rigidity.

However, when a price change is justified, then the price-setter will have incentive to make price changes in multiples of 10¢. For example, a firm that faces a series of 1¢ cost increases may not change its price for many periods, but when the firm does react, it may increase the price by 10¢, even though the cost increase in that particular period was only 1¢. The implication is that the store could change the price from \$1.79 to \$1.89, instead of to \$1.80, without any additional cost, but with much higher benefit. That would be true even in a world with costs of price adjustment (Mankiw 1985) because of the largely fixed nature of such costs in the U.S. retail industry (Levy et al. 1997, 1998; Dutta et al. 1999). The empirical findings we reported in section IV are indeed consistent with these predictions.

## VIII. Discussion and Conclusion

The issue of price rigidity lies at the heart of New Keynesian macroeconomics (Blinder et al. 1998) and industrial organization (Carlton 1986). However, the sources of nominal price

---

<sup>20</sup> Consistent with this idea, a recent study by Levy, et al. (2005) which also uses Dominick's data, document asymmetric price adjustment "in the small." That is, they find that there are more small price increases than decreases, for price changes of up to 10¢. After ruling out standard models of price adjustment or inflation as explanation, they argue that the asymmetry might be due to rational inattention.

rigidity are not well understood. In this study, we explore one possible theory—*price point theory*—which has been offered as a potential source of price rigidity (Kashyap, 1995). Taken as a whole, our results suggest that price points, and their contribution to retail price rigidity, may be of substantial practical and economic importance. We structure the remaining discussion around how these results relate the existing literature on price rigidity, price points, theoretical explanations for these findings, and related macroeconomic issues.

### ***Price Points and Price Rigidity***

The strongest results emerging from our analysis are that once the terminal digits of a price are set at 9, the price is at least 24% (and as much as 73%) less likely to change compared to when the terminal digits are not 9. Further, when the prices change, they tend to change in multiples of dimes and dollars, which preserve the terminal digits at 9. Also, when a price changes, the change is larger for a 9-ending price than non 9-ending price. Thus, in both datasets, for almost all product categories, 9-ending price points seem to form substantial barriers to retail price changes. While our analysis of the Dominick's data is limited to the 9¢ and 99¢ price-endings and small price changes (in multiples of dimes), the wider price range in our Internet dataset allowed us to examine 9-endings and price rigidity for the dollar and ten-dollar digits as well as the last three and four digits. Our findings on 9-ending price points and price rigidity appear to be quite robust, occurring across two different datasets, with a wide range of prices, products, retail formats, retailers and even time periods.

These results are consistent with the importance practitioners seem to place on price points in their pricing decisions, from the 88% of managers mentioning this in Blinder, et al.'s 1998 study, or the survey studies of the European Central Bank's IPN summarized in Fabiani, et al. (2004). Our results take these concerns one step further, showing that practitioner actions are consistent with these considerations, at least for the products we study. As such, we offer substantial support for price point theories of price rigidity, as suggested by Blinder, et al. (1998) and Kashyap (1995), as viable and promising directions for future research in this area.

To our knowledge, this is the first study that directly examines the effect of retail price points on price rigidity across such a broad range of product categories, price levels, and retailers, in tradition retailing and Internet-based selling formats, using actual individual product level transaction price data from the US. Yet more work needs to be done to study this phenomenon across additional product categories, industries, retail formats, and countries. These additional studies can help document the generalizability of these results, and uncover the boundaries of this reasoning. In fact, emerging work from EU countries seems to indicate that



prices set at price points tend to be more rigid in their contexts as well (e.g., Álvarez and Hernando, 2004, Aucremanne and Dhyne, 2005, and Baumgartner, et al. 2005).

Our results also suggest that the Internet, and techniques being developed in the Information Sciences (IS) discipline, offer many opportunities to improve our understanding of price setting, price points and price rigidity. The Internet provides a unique technological context for the micro-level study of price setting behavior and strategies (Bergen, et al., 2005). The ability to access transaction data using software agents being developed by IS scholars allows us to explore pricing and price adjustment patterns at lower costs, with fewer strict assumptions, and more realism at a previously unimaginable level of microeconomic detail. It allows empirical research methods (what have been called *massive quasi-experimental data mining methods*) that can take advantage of natural experiments in the real world and enable researchers to distinguish between outcomes associated with different levels of impacts, access or use of information technologies (Kauffman and Lee, 2005). With the new retailing activities on the Internet, and new techniques and tools being developed by IS scholars, we expect these opportunities to increase further in the future.

### ***Price Points***

In our supermarket and Internet datasets, 9 is the most popular terminal digit overall and the use of 9-ending prices seems to be a significant retail pricing phenomenon. Our results are consistent with the findings reported by Friedman (1967) that 5 and 9-ending prices are particularly popular in the U.S. retail food industry. He refers to these two digits as “magic numbers.” Combined, they account for more than 80% of all price-endings in his retail price data. Friedman reports that 3 and 7-ending prices are next in popularity. These findings are in line with ours. We also find that 9-endings are the single most popular ending, followed by 5, 3 and 7-endings. Unlike Friedman, however, we find that in our data a small proportion of prices end with 0 as well. See Figures 1 and 5.

This is not to suggest that 9 price endings are the only price point worth studying. The existing empirical evidence suggests that price points may vary across countries or industries. For example, Ratfai (2003) reports that over 45% of the Hungarian retail prices in his data end with 0, and less than 20% of the prices end with 9. Similarly, Konieczny and Skrzypacz (2003, 2004) note that 9-ending prices are particularly popular in the U.S., Canada, Germany, and Belgium, but, they are scarce in Spain, Italy, Poland, and Hungary, where *round* prices are more common. Fengler and Winter (2001) report similar results for German data, and Mostacci and Sabbatini (2003) for Italian data. In contrast, in Asian countries including Malaysia, Hong Kong,

Singapore, Japan and China, Heeler and Nguyen (2001) find an unusual over-representation of 8-endings.<sup>21</sup> These and other pricing practices, and their economic significance, need to be explored further.<sup>22</sup>

### *Making Sense of Ignoring Cents*

The phenomenon of 9-ending prices has received considerable attention in the marketing literature, where most studies explain the 9-ending pricing phenomena on psychological grounds.<sup>23</sup> For example, according to Nagle and Holden (1995, p. 300), buyers perceive the 9-ending prices (which Nagle and Holden term “odd-number pricing”) “... as significantly lower than the slightly higher round numbers that they approximate.” They suggest that consumers may have learned to associate odd-ending prices with discount prices, and therefore, the 9-ending prices draw their attention.

As another example, Schindler and Kirby (1997) propose two models to explain the popularity of 9-ending prices. Their first model, based on Kahneman and Tversky’s (1979) prospect theory, posits that consumers perceive a 9-ending price as a round-number price with a small amount given back, and that due to the prospect theory’s nonlinear value function, they perceive the 9-ending price to be more attractive than the round-price. In their second model, consumers underestimate a 9-ending price by encoding it as the first round number that comes to mind when they see the number 9 during left-to-right processing. Their data on retail advertising price lends support to their second model.<sup>24</sup>

---

<sup>21</sup> According to Heeler and Nguyen (2001), in the Chinese mind, numbers have special significance and symbolism. Even the sounds of the numbers can suggest good or bad luck. For example, number 8 represents luck to the Cantonese Chinese because in Cantonese it sounds like *multiply* or *get rich* (*Fa* in Cantonese). In Japan, 8 also has great symbolic significance because the Japanese writing of the number 8 looks like the shape of a mountain (*suehiro-gari* in Japanese), and thus the number 8 signifies *grow* or *prosper*. Consistent with this interpretation, Heeler and Nguyen (2001) find that close to 50% of restaurant menu prices sampled in Hong Kong had 8-endings, which they define as “happy endings.” Consistent with the above is also a recent *Time Magazine* article by Rawe (2004), in which she reports that at the casino of a recently built \$240 million hotel Sands Macao in Macao (a former Portuguese colony handed over to China in 1999), the slot machines’ winning trios of 7’s have been replaced with trios of 8’s. It turns out that the cultural importance of some roman numerals is not limited to “happy endings.” For example, according to Mirhadi (2000), when the Masquerade tower was added to Hotel Rio in Las Vegas in 1997, the hotel architects decided to skip the 40<sup>th</sup>–49<sup>th</sup> floors because the Arabic numeral “4” in Chinese sounds similar to the word “death.” Instead, they went directly from the 39<sup>th</sup> floor to the 50<sup>th</sup> floor.

<sup>22</sup> Additional analyses (not reported here to save space) show that 9 is indeed more rigid than any other digit in our datasets. Other popular digits in our data (e.g., 5), do not consistently lead to more price rigidity, and even when they do the rigidity associated with those digits is considerably less compared to that associated with 9.

<sup>23</sup> See Shapiro (1968) and Monroe (1990) for reviews of earlier literature, and Anderson and Simester (2003) for a review of more recent literature in marketing.

<sup>24</sup> Other theories try to explain 9-ending pricing based on image effect or level effect. Still other theories argue that sellers like to give change or that buyers like to receive a change. It has been suggested also that 9-ending prices may be interpreted as discount prices and thus are indicative of good bargains. Finally some authors have suggested a cognitive accessibility of certain numbers to explain pricing points, especially pricing with numbers that end with either 0 or 5. See Shy (2000) and Ruffle and Shtudiner (2006) for a critical review of some of these theories.

All these explanations, however, assume some form of irrational behavior, as Shy (2000) argues. Could it be that 9-ending prices have a more rational explanation, as Landsburg's opening quote in this paper suggests?<sup>25</sup> Our rational inattention-based explanation differs from most of the studies in marketing and economics on 9-endings (e.g., Nagle and Holden 1995 and Schindler and Kirby 1997) in that it does not assume these kinds of irrational behavior.

We suggest that consumers' rational inattention and firms' reactions to it, can offer a plausible explanation for our findings. So, not only do we document the various phenomena that we study thoroughly, we also bring a fresh theoretical perspective to bear on this problem. The existing studies that have employed the idea of rational inattention (see footnote 17), all focus on various macroeconomic issues, such as monetary policy, inflation dynamics, consumption dynamics, etc. As far as we know, this is the first paper to extend the ideas of rational inattention to the study of individual price dynamics.

Clearly, our main empirical findings—that price points are more rigid, and that price changes are such that they preserve these price points—hold regardless of what theory is used to explain the use of price points. Thus, as long as price points have a behavioral or economic reason to exist, firms will use them to leverage that reason in their price adjustment decisions.

### ***Implications for Macroeconomics Research***

Although the data we use, the empirical findings we report, and the explanation we offer, are of a microeconomic nature, these ideas may have potential implications for broader macroeconomic and policy issues. In macroeconomics, we typically do not consider nominal magnitudes to be as important as real magnitudes for optimal decision-making. Yet, our results imply decision rules by customers and firms that may affect both price points and price adjustments. In such situations, the nominal magnitude of numeric information attached to economic quantities may matter.

There are a variety of macroeconomic settings where these insights on price points, price rigidity and rational inattention might be relevant. For example, dropping the smallest currency unit has been a recent topic of debate in the United States and Europe.<sup>26</sup> The smallest currency

---

<sup>25</sup> Landsburg (1995) offers an interesting historical account of 9-ending prices. See also Ginzberg (1936) for a social customs theory, and more recent authors, such as Basu (1997, 2005), Shy (2000), Ruffle and Shtudiner (2006) and Andersen and Simester (2003), for economic explanations for 9-ending prices.

<sup>26</sup> According to a July 19, 2001 report of Larry Copeland of the *USA Today*, "France, Spain and Britain, quit producing low-denomination coins in recent decades because production costs kept going up while the coins' purchasing power went down." More recently, it has been reported that in many European Union Countries that have adopted the Euro, the public—buyers as well as sellers—seems to exhibit resistance to the use of 1-cent and 2-cent denomination coins because of the inconvenience their use entails: "They're small, nearly valueless—and a nuisance to millions of Europeans. The tiny denomination 1- and 2-cent Euro coins are annoying shoppers and

unit defines the minimum regions of price points and price ranges of customer inattention.

This appears to be true in the case of products that are sold through automated devices such as soda and candy bar vending machines, parking meters, coin-operated laundry machines, etc.<sup>27</sup>

As another example, the common use of 9-endings, and more generally the popularity of price points have recently received a considerable attention in public discussions in several EU countries in the context of the conversion of prices from local currencies to the Euro. The concern was about the possibility that retailers may have acted opportunistically by rounding their prices upward after conversion to the Euro in their attempt to preserve the pricing points.<sup>28</sup> The idea of rational inattention and our findings reported here along with the findings reported by Levy, et al. (2005) about the presence of asymmetry in “small” price adjustments in various datasets suggests that more research is needed on these and other related issues.

---

disrupting business from Paris to Milan,” (Eric Pfanner, “Euro quandary: It’s no small change,” *International Herald Tribune*, Tel-Aviv Edition, Friday, March 22, 2002, p. 1). The argument here seems to resemble the arguments made in the context of the “1¢ nuisance” cost caused by the need to obtain one-cent stamp to be added to the standard first class mail stamp after each upward adjustment in the price of the US first class mail by 1¢ (Rubin 1999). In July 2001, Rep. Jim Kolbe (R-Arizona) has introduced the “Legal Tender Modernization Act,” which would make the US penny obsolete. According to the proposed bill, checks would still be made to the exact amount, but the merchants would be required to round up or down to the nearest nickel on cash purchases. The bill was defeated. Previous attempts, which were made in 1990 and 1996, also died in Congress (Source: Larry Copeland, “Bill Would Make Pennies Obsolete,” *USA Today*, July 19, 2001, Internet edition). Elimination of pennies in cash transactions and rounding up/down of the prices to the nearest nickel has been recently discussed also in the context of the price-setting policy of the US House of Representative Gift Shop. (See the July 18, 1995 Report No. 95-CAO-05, Office of the Inspector General, US House of Representatives).

<sup>27</sup> See Levy and Young (2004), Bills and Klenow (2004), and Campbell and Eden (2005).

<sup>28</sup> See, for example, Folkertsma (2002), Folkertsma, et al. (2002), Ehrmann (2005), and the studies cited therein.

## References

- Aalto-Setälä, V. and M. Halonen (2001), "Price Thresholds in Grocery and Automobile Markets: Why is Such Pricing Used?" Manuscript, National Consumer Research Centre, Helsinki.
- Adam, Klaus (2004), "Optimal Monetary Policy with Imperfect Common Knowledge," CEPR Discussion Paper No. 4594, Center for Financial Studies, University of Frankfurt, Germany.
- Agresti, Alan (2002), *Categorical Data Analysis*, 2nd Edition (NY, NY: John Wiley and Sons).
- Akerlof, George A., W. Dickens, and G. Perry (2000), "Near-Rational Wage and Price Setting and the Long Run Phillips Curve," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1:2000, 1-60.
- Álvarez, Luis J. and Ignacio Hernando (2004), "Price Setting Behavior in Spain: Stylized Facts Using Consumer Price Micro Data," ECB Working Paper No. 416, European Central Bank.
- Ameriks, John, Andrew Caplin, and John Leahy (2003), "Wealth Accumulation and the Propensity to Plan," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118(3), 1007–1048.
- Ameriks, John, Andrew Caplin, and John Leahy (2004), "The Absent-Minded Consumer," NBER Working Paper No. 10216, Cambridge, MA.
- Anderson, Eric T. and Duncan I. Simester (2003), "Effects of \$9 Price Endings on Retail Sales, Evidence from Field Experiments," *Quantitative Marketing and Economics* 1(1), 93–110.
- Angeloni, Ignazio et al. (2004), "Inflation Persistence in the Euro Area: Preliminary Summary of Findings," ECB-IPN Working Paper, European Central Bank, Frankfurt, Germany.
- Aucremanne, Luc and David Cornille (2001), "Attractive Prices and Euro-Rounding Effects on Inflation," Working Paper No. 17, National Bank of Belgium, Brussels, Belgium.
- Aucremanne, L. and E. Dhyne (2005), "Time-Dependent versus State Dependent Pricing: A Panel Data Approach to the Determinants of Belgian Consumer Price Changes," ECB Working Paper No. 462.
- Bakos, J. Yannis (1997), "Reducing Buyer Search Costs: Implications for Electronic Marketplaces," *Management Science* 43(12) 1676-1692.
- Ball, Laurence (2000), "Near Rationality and Inflation in Two Monetary Regimes," NBER Working Paper No. 7988, Cambridge, MA.
- Ball, Laurence and N. Gregory Mankiw (1994), "A Sticky-Price Manifesto," *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy* 41 (December), 127–151.
- Ball, Laurence, N. Gregory Mankiw, and Ricardo Reis (2005), "Monetary Policy for Inattentive Economies," *Journal of Monetary Economics* 52(4), 703–725.
- Ball, Laurence and David Romer (1990), "Real Rigidities and Nonneutrality of Money," *Review of Economic Studies* 57(2), 183–203.
- Ball, Laurence and David Romer (2003), "Inflation and the Informativeness of Prices," *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 35(2), 177–196.
- Basu, Kaushik (1997), "Why Are So Many Goods Priced to End in Nine? And Why This Practice Hurts the Producers?" *Economics Letters* 54(1), 41–44.
- Basu, Kaushik (2005), "Consumer Cognition and Pricing in the 9's in Oligopolistic Markets," *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, forthcoming.
- Baumgartner, J., E. Glazer, F. Rumler and A. Stiglbauer (2005), "How Frequently Do Consumer Prices Change in Austria? Evidence from Micro CPI Data," ECB Working Paper No. 523.

- Bergen, M., R. Kauffman, and D. Lee (2005), "Beyond the Hype of Frictionless Markets: Evidence of Heterogeneity in Price Rigidity on the Internet," *Journal of Management Information Systems* 22(2), 57-89.
- Bergen, Mark, Daniel Levy, Sourav Ray, Paul Rubin, and Benjamin Zelig (2003), "On the Economic Inefficiency of Item Pricing Laws: Theory and Evidence," Manuscript, presented at the August 1–2, 2003 NBER Law and Economics Program Meeting, Cambridge, MA.
- Bils, Mark and Peter J. Klenow (2004), "Some Evidence on the Importance of Sticky Prices," *Journal of Political Economy* 112 (5), 947–985.
- Bizer, George and Robert Schindler (2005), "Direct Evidence of Ending-Digit Drop-Off in Price Information Processing," *Psychology and Marketing*, 22 (10), 771-783.
- Blinder, Alan S., Elie R. D. Canetti, D. Lebow, and J. Rudd (1998), *Asking About Prices: A New Approach to Understanding Price Stickiness* (NY, NY: Russell Sage Foundation).
- Campbell, Jeffrey R. and Benjamin Eden (2005), "Rigid Prices: Evidence from U.S. Scanner Data," WP 2005-08, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
- Caplin, A. (1993), "Individual Inertia and Aggregate Dynamics," in *Optimal Pricing, Inflation, and the Cost of Price Adjustment*, E. Sheshinski and Y. Weiss (Cambridge: MIT), 19–45.
- Carlton, Dennis (1986), "The Rigidity of Prices," *American Economic Review*, 76 (4), 637-658.
- Chevalier, J., Anil Kashyap, and Peter Rossi (2003), "Why Don't Prices Rise During Periods of Peak Demand? Evidence from Scanner Data," *American Economic Review* 93, 15–37.
- Coenen, Günther and Andrew Levin (2004), "Identifying the Influences of Nominal and Real Rigidities in Aggregate Price-Setting Behavior," ECB Working Paper No. 418.
- Davis, Michael C. and James D. Hamilton (2004), "Why Are Prices Sticky? The Dynamics of Wholesale Gasoline Prices," *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 36(1), 17–37.
- de Walque, G., Frank Smets, and R. Wouters (2004), "Price Setting In General Equilibrium: Alternative Specifications," ECB-IPN Working Paper, European Central Bank.
- Dhyne E, et al. (2004), "Price Setting in the Euro Area: Some Stylized Facts from Individual Consumer Price Data," ECB Working Paper 524, European Central Bank.
- Dotsey, Michael and Robert G. King (2005), "Implications of State-Dependent Pricing for Dynamic Macroeconomic Models," *Journal of Monetary Economics* 52 (1), 213-242.
- Dutta, Shantanu, Mark Bergen, Daniel Levy, and Robert Venable (1999), "Menu Costs, Posted Prices, and Multiproduct Retailers," *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 31(4), 683–703.
- Dutta, S., Daniel Levy, and Mark Bergen (2002) "Price Flexibility in Channels of Distribution: Evidence from Scanner Data," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 26, 1845–1900.
- Ehrmann, Michael (2005), "Inattentive Consumers, Inflation Developments and Perceptions after the Euro Cash Changeover," Manuscript, Research Department, European Central Bank.
- Fabiani, Silvia et al. (2004), "The Pricing Behaviour of Firms in the Euro Area: New Survey Evidence," ECB Working Paper 535, European Central Bank, Frankfurt, Germany.
- Fengler, Mathias and Joachim Winter (2001), "Psychological Pricing Points and Price Adjustment in German Retail Markets," Manuscript, University of Mannheim.
- Folkertsma, Carsten K (2002), "The Euro and Psychological Prices: Simulations of the Worst-Case Scenario," *De Economist* 150(1), 19-40.

- Folkertsma, Carsten K., C. van Renselaar, and A.C.J. Stokman (2002), "Smooth Euro Changeover, Higher Prices? Results of a Survey Among Dutch Retailers," Research Memorandum WO No. 682.E (April), De Nederlandsche Bank, Amsterdam.
- Friedman, Lawrence (1967), "Psychological Pricing in the Food Industry," in *Prices: Issues in Theory, Practice and Public Policy*, A. Phillips and O.E. Williamson, eds. (Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press), 187–201.
- Gabaix, Xavier, David Laibson, Guillermo Moloche, and Stephen Weinberg (2005), "Information Acquisition: Experimental Analysis of a Boundedly Rational Model," *American Economic Review*, forthcoming.
- Gabor, André (1988), *Pricing: Concepts and Methods for Effective Marketing* (Cambridge: Gower Publishing).
- Gabor, André and Clive W.J. Granger (1961), "On the Price Consciousness of Consumers," *Applied Statistics* 10(2), 170–188.
- Ginzberg, Eli (1936), "Customary Prices," *American Economic Review* 26(2), p. 296.
- Golosov, Mikhail and Robert E. Lucas, Jr (2003), "Menu Costs and Phillips Curves," NBER Working Paper No. 10187, Cambridge, MA.
- Greene, William H. (2003), *Econometric Analysis*, 5th Ed. (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall).
- Heeler, Roger and Adam Nguyen (2001), "Price Endings in Asia," in B. Murphy and L. Engle (Eds.), *Proceedings of Australia-New Zealand Marketing Assn.*, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Hosmer, David W. and Stanley Lemeshow (2000), *Applied Logistic Regression*, Second Edition (New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons).
- Hinrichs, James, Janis Berie, and Molan Mosell (1982), "Place Information in Multi-digit Comparison," *Memory and Cognition* 7(4), 487–495.
- Kackmeister, Alan K. (2002), "Has Retail Price Behavior Changed Since 1889? Evidence from Microdata," Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, CA.
- Kahneman, Daniel and Amos Tversky (1979), "Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk," *Econometrica* 47(2), 263–291.
- Kalyanaram, G. and John D.C. Little (1994), "An Empirical Analysis of Latitude of Price Acceptance in Consumer Package Goods," *Journal of Consumer Research* 21(3), 408–418.
- Kashyap, Anil K. (1995), "Sticky Prices: New Evidence from Retail Catalogues," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110(1), 245–274.
- Kauffman, Robert J. and Dongwon Lee (2005), "Should We Expect Less Price Rigidity in Internet-Based Selling?" MISRC Working Paper No. 05-18, University of Minnesota.
- Kauffman, Robert J. and Charles A. Wood (2006), "Follow the Leader: Price Change Timing and Strategic Pricing in E-Commerce," *Managerial and Decision Economics*, forthcoming.
- Konieczny, J. and A. Skrzypacz (2003), "A New Test of the Menu Cost Model," manuscript.
- Konieczny, Jerzy and Andrzej Skrzypacz (2004), "Search, Costly Price Adjustment and the Frequency of Price Changes: Theory and Evidence," Manuscript, Stanford University.
- Lach, Saul and Daniel Tsiddon (1996), "Staggering and Synchronization in Price-Setting: Evidence from Multiproduct Firms," *American Economic Review* 86(5), 1175–1196.

- Lambert, Zarrel V. (1975), "Perceived Prices as Related to Odd and Even Price Endings," *Journal of Retailing* 51(3), 13–22.
- Landsburg, Steven E. (1995), *The Armchair Economist: Economics and Everyday Life* (New York, NY: The Free Press).
- Levy, Daniel (1990), "Specification and Estimation of Forecast Generating Equations in Models with Rational Expectations," Chapter 3 of Ph.D. Thesis, *Three Essays in Dynamic Macroeconomics*, University of California, Irvine.
- Levy, Daniel, Mark Bergen, Shantanu Dutta, and Robert Venable (1997), "The Magnitude of Menu Costs: Direct Evidence from Large U.S. Supermarket Chains," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112(3), 791–825.
- Levy, Daniel, Haipeng Chen, Sourav Ray, and Mark Bergen (2005), "Asymmetric Price Adjustment in Small: An Implication of Rational Inattention," Manuscript.
- Levy, Daniel, Shantanu Dutta, Mark Bergen, and Robert Venable (1998), "Price Adjustment at Multiproduct Retailers," *Managerial and Decision Economics* 19(2), 81–120.
- Levy, Daniel and Andrew Young (2004), "The Real Thing: Nominal Price Rigidity of the Nickel Coke, 1886–1959," *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 36(4), 765–799.
- Mankiw, N. Gregory (1985), "Small Menu Costs and Large Business Cycles: A Macroeconomic Model of Monopoly," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 100(2), 529–538.
- Mankiw, N. G. and R. Reis (2002), "Sticky Decisions Versus Sticky Prices: A Proposal to Replace the New Keynesian Phillips Curve," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117, 1295–1328.
- Mirhadi, David (2000), "Hotels Reach Overseas to Fill Beds, Restaurants, Showrooms," *Las Vegas Review-Journal*, May 18.
- Monroe, K. (1970), "Buyers' Subjective Perceptions of Price," *Journal of Marketing Research* 10(1), 70–80.
- Monroe, K. (1990), *Pricing: Making Profitable Decisions*, 2nd Ed. (Boston, MA: McGraw Hill).
- Monroe, K. (2001), "Pricing and Sales Promotion," in G. Salvendy, ed., *Handbook of Industrial Engineering: Technology and Operations Management* (NY: John Wiley & Sons), 665–683.
- Mostacci, F. and R. Sabbatini (2003), "Has the Euro Caused Inflation? The Changeover and Consumer Price Rounding in Italy in 2002," Istituto Nazionale di Statistica, Rome.
- Nagle, Thomas T. and Reed K. Holden (1995), *The Strategy and Tactics of Pricing: A Guide to Profitable Decision Making*, 2nd edition (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall).
- Poltrock, Steven and David Schwartz (1984), "Comparative Judgments of Multidigit Numbers," *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 10(1), 32–45.
- Rátfai, Attila (2003), "The Dynamics of Pricing Points," Manuscript, CEU, Budapest.
- Rawe, Julie (2004), "Vegas Plays to the World," *Time*, July 26, 34–35.
- Reis, Ricardo (2004), "Inattentive Consumers," NBER Working Paper No. 10883.
- Reis, Ricardo (2005), "Inattentive Producers," *Review of Economic Studies*, forthcoming.
- Rotemberg, J. (1987), "The New Keynesian Microfoundations," *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 69–104.
- Rotemberg, J. (2003), "The Benevolence of the Baker: Fair Pricing under Threat of Customer Anger," manuscript.



- Rotemberg, Julio J. (2005), "Customer Anger at Price Increases, Changes in the Frequency of Price Adjustment, and Monetary Policy," *Journal of Monetary Economics* 52, 829–852.
- Roufagalas, John (1994), "Price Rigidity: An Exploration of the Demand Side," *Managerial and Decision Economics* 15(1), 87–94.
- Rubin, Paul (1999), "The 1¢ Nuisance," *Regulation: The Cato Review of Business and Government* 22(1), p. 3.
- Ruffle, Bradley J. and Ze'ev Shtudiner (2006), "A Rational Explanation for Price Endings in 99: Experimental Evidence," *Managerial and Decision Economics*, forthcoming.
- Schindler, Robert M. (2003), "The 99-Price Ending As a Signal of a Low-Price Appeal," Manuscript, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ.
- Schindler, R. and P. Kirby (1997), "Patterns of Rightmost Digits Used in Advertised Prices: Implications for Nine-Ending Effects," *Journal of Consumer Research* 24(2), 192–201.
- Shapiro, Benson (1968), "The Psychology of Pricing," *Harvard Business Review* 46(4), 14–25.
- Shiller, Robert J. (1978), "Rational Expectations and the Dynamic Structure of Macroeconomic Models: A Critical Review," *Journal of Monetary Economics* 4(1), 1–44.
- Shugan, Steven (1980), "The Cost of Thinking," *Journal of Consumer Research* 7(2), 99–111.
- Shy, Oz (2000), "Why 99 Cents?" Manuscript, University of Haifa.
- Sims, Christopher A. (1998), "Stickiness," *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy* 49(1), 317–356.
- Sims, Christopher A. (2003), "Implications of Rational Inattention," *Journal of Monetary Economics* 50(3), 665–690.
- Slade, Margaret E. (1998), "Optimal Pricing with Costly Adjustment: Evidence from Retail-Grocery Prices," *Review of Economic Studies* 65(1), 87–107.
- Varian, H. (2000) "Market Structure in the Network Age," in E. Brynjolfsson & B. Kahin (eds.), *Understanding the Digital Economy: Data, Tools, and Research* (Cambridge: MIT), 137–50.
- Vermeulen, Philip et al. (2004), "Price-Setting Behaviour in the Euro Area: Summary Evidence from Producer Price Micro Data," ECB-IPN Working Paper (RG3), European Central Bank.
- Warner, E. and Robert Barsky (1995), "The Timing and Magnitude of Retail Store Markdowns: Evidence from Weekends and Holidays," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110(2), 321–352.
- Willis, J. (2003), "Implications of Structural Changes in the U.S. Economy for Pricing Behavior and Inflation Dynamics," *Federal Reserve Bank of KC Economic Review* (1st Quart.), 5–27.
- Wolman, Alexander L. (2006), "The Frequency and Costs of Individual Price Adjustment: A Survey," *Managerial and Decision Economics*, forthcoming.
- Woodford, M. (2001), "Imperfect Common Knowledge and the Effects of Monetary Policy," in Aghion, P., R. Frydman, J. Stiglitz, and M. Woodford (eds.), *Knowledge, Information, and Expectations in Modern Macroeconomics, In Honor of Edmund S. Phelps*.
- Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. (2002), *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Zbaracki, Mark, Mark Ritson, Daniel Levy, Shantanu Dutta, and Mark Bergen (2004), "Managerial and Customer Costs of Price Adjustment: Direct Evidence from Industrial Markets," *Review of Economics and Statistics* 86(2), 514–533.

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Weekly Retail Price Observations in Dominick's Data**

Category	Number of Observations	Number of Products	Number of Stores	Mean Price	Std. Dev.	Min. Price	Max. Price
Analgesics	3,040,159	638	93	\$5.18	\$2.36	\$0.47	\$23.69
Bath Soap	418,087	579	93	\$3.16	\$1.60	\$0.47	\$18.99
Bathroom Tissue	1,149,953	127	93	\$2.10	\$1.68	\$0.25	\$11.99
Beer	1,966,139	787	89	\$5.69	\$2.70	\$0.99	\$26.99
Bottled Juice	4,294,956	506	93	\$2.24	\$0.97	\$0.32	\$8.00
Canned Soup	5,504,477	445	93	\$1.13	\$0.49	\$0.23	\$5.00
Canned Tuna	2,382,969	278	93	\$1.80	\$1.07	\$0.22	\$12.89
Cereals	4,707,750	489	93	\$3.12	\$0.76	\$0.25	\$7.49
Cheeses	6,752,297	657	93	\$2.42	\$1.12	\$0.10	\$16.19
Cigarettes	1,801,440	793	93	\$7.69	\$7.90	\$0.59	\$25.65
Cookies	7,568,399	1,124	93	\$2.10	\$0.63	\$0.25	\$8.79
Crackers	2,228,265	330	93	\$2.01	\$0.57	\$0.25	\$6.85
Dish Detergent	2,164,726	287	93	\$2.34	\$0.90	\$0.39	\$7.00
Fabric Softeners	2,278,536	318	93	\$2.82	\$1.45	\$0.10	\$9.99
Front-End-Candies	4,437,054	503	93	\$0.61	\$0.24	\$0.01	\$6.99
Frozen Dinners	1,654,049	266	93	\$2.37	\$0.89	\$0.25	\$9.99
Frozen Entrees	7,172,065	898	93	\$2.33	\$1.06	\$0.25	\$15.99
Frozen Juices	2,368,129	175	93	\$1.39	\$0.45	\$0.22	\$6.57
Grooming Products	4,065,657	1,381	93	\$2.94	\$1.37	\$0.49	\$11.29
Laundry Detergents	3,277,439	581	93	\$5.61	\$3.22	\$0.25	\$24.49
Oatmeal	981,034	96	93	\$2.65	\$0.66	\$0.49	\$5.00
Paper Towels	940,740	163	93	\$1.50	\$1.41	\$0.31	\$13.99
Refrigerated Juices	2,166,726	225	93	\$2.24	\$0.91	\$0.39	\$7.05
Shampoos	4,676,362	2,930	93	\$2.95	\$1.79	\$0.27	\$29.99
Snack Crackers	3,487,548	420	93	\$2.18	\$0.57	\$0.10	\$8.00
Soaps	1,835,196	334	93	\$2.51	\$1.48	\$0.10	\$10.99
Soft Drinks	10,741,661	1,608	93	\$2.34	\$1.89	\$0.10	\$26.02
Toothbrushes	1,839,530	491	93	\$2.18	\$0.85	\$0.39	\$9.99
Toothpastes	2,981,513	608	93	\$2.43	\$0.89	\$0.31	\$10.99
<b>Total</b>	<b>c</b>	<b>18,037</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>\$2.59</b>	<b>\$2.16</b>	<b>\$0.01</b>	<b>\$29.99</b>

Note: The table reports on all price data from Dominick's Finer Food in its 93 stores for 400 weeks from September 14, 1989 to May 8, 1997. The data is available at: <http://gsbwww.uchicago.edu/kilts/research/db/dominicks/>

**Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for the Internet-Based Sellers' Price Dataset**

Category	Number of Observations	Number of Products	Number of Retailers	Mean Price	Std. Dev.	Min. Price	Max. Price
Music CDs	302,914	46	15	\$13.46	\$3.50	\$3.99	\$26.98
Movie DVDs	447,519	49	22	\$27.42	\$26.70	\$4.95	\$144.99
Video Games	244,625	49	38	\$30.83	\$12.57	\$4.90	\$57.99
Software	382,297	48	83	\$294.07	\$417.60	\$4.95	\$5,695.00
Hard Drives	263,244	46	73	\$330.67	\$556.29	\$39.00	\$3,670.98
PDA's	148,731	45	92	\$346.60	\$193.24	\$32.99	\$956.95
DVD Players	220,236	49	104	\$369.51	\$247.75	\$57.99	\$1,489.00
PC Monitors	319,369	51	87	\$682.89	\$659.13	\$85.78	\$3,010.41
Digital Cameras	247,917	46	143	\$760.12	\$688.76	\$175.95	\$6,000.00
Notebook PCs	79,386	45	45	\$1,666.68	\$475.80	\$699.00	\$3,199.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,656,238</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>\$337.06</b>	<b>\$536.13</b>	<b>\$3.99</b>	<b>\$6,000.00</b>

**Note:** Internet retailers have many different categories of products (e.g., Amazon.com sells books, CDs, DVDs, computer products and electronics). Consequently, the sum of the number of retailers in each product category will not necessarily be consistent with the total number of stores in all product categories. In addition, some retailers do not have all products (e.g., Amazon has 15 music CDs while Barnes & Noble has 20). Also, the length of individual product's price time series varies due to different life cycle of products. Thus, the number of observations, for example, 302,914, is less than total available combinations, i.e.,  $46 \times 15 \times 743 = 512,670$ .

I

**Table 3. Top 10 Highest Frequencies of Last Three Digits of Prices in the Internet Data**

Rank	CDs	DVDs	Video Games	SW	PDA's	Hard Drives	DVD Players	PC Monitors	Digital Cameras	Notebook PCs	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>\$4.99</b> 7.76%	<b>\$9.99</b> 5.13%	<b>\$9.99</b> 37.78%	<b>\$9.00</b> 11.55%	<b>\$9.00</b> 23.43%	<b>\$9.99</b> 8.97%	<b>\$9.99</b> 23.13%	<b>\$9.00</b> 16.60%	<b>\$9.99</b> 23.58%	<b>\$9.00</b> 48.43%	<b>\$9.99</b> 13.17%
<b>2</b>	<b>\$2.99</b> 5.20%	<b>\$4.99</b> 4.89%	<b>\$9.82</b> 4.51%	<b>\$9.95</b> 11.49%	<b>\$9.99</b> 15.21%	<b>\$9.00</b> 6.18%	<b>\$9.00</b> 10.74%	<b>\$9.99</b> 8.99%	<b>\$9.00</b> 21.60%	<b>\$9.99</b> 16.62%	<b>\$9.00</b> 9.98%
<b>3</b>	<b>\$3.99</b> 4.35%	<b>\$3.99</b> 2.78%	<b>\$8.95</b> 3.62%	<b>\$9.99</b> 7.72%	<b>\$9.95</b> 5.26%	<b>\$9.95</b> 4.41%	<b>\$9.95</b> 5.77%	<b>\$9.95</b> 4.03%	<b>\$9.95</b> 8.76%	<b>\$9.95</b> 5.01%	<b>\$9.95</b> 4.86%
<b>4</b>	\$1.99 4.22%	\$0.99 2.72%	\$7.99 3.35%	\$5.00 4.53%	\$8.00 3.09%	\$5.00 3.44%	\$9.97 5.39%	\$5.00 3.42%	\$5.00 5.19%	\$9.98 3.28%	\$4.99 3.24%
<b>5</b>	\$3.98 3.26%	\$5.99 2.65%	\$4.99 3.20%	\$0.00 3.40%	\$5.00 2.74%	\$4.99 2.57%	\$9.90 4.85%	\$0.00 2.80%	\$8.00 2.80%	\$5.00 2.49%	\$5.00 2.48%
<b>6</b>	\$5.99 2.96%	\$2.99 2.57%	\$9.95 2.85%	\$8.00 2.84%	\$4.99 2.48%	\$2.00 2.26%	\$5.00 4.13%	\$5.95 2.41%	\$4.99 2.37%	\$7.00 1.73%	\$2.99 1.46%
<b>7</b>	\$9.99 2.43%	\$6.99 2.37%	\$9.88 2.76%	\$4.95 2.73%	\$0.00 1.85%	\$7.00 2.16%	\$4.99 3.24%	\$0.95 2.33%	\$7.00 2.26%	\$4.00 1.64%	\$8.95 1.45%
<b>8</b>	\$4.98 2.40%	\$5.98 2.34%	\$8.99 2.72%	\$8.95 2.53%	\$4.95 1.69%	\$6.00 2.14%	\$8.00 2.26%	\$2.95 2.26%	\$0.00 1.85%	\$4.95 1.00%	\$8.00 1.44%
<b>9</b>	\$7.99 2.26%	\$1.98 2.08%	\$6.99 2.04%	\$2.00 2.21%	\$8.95 1.68%	\$8.99 2.10%	\$9.96 2.21%	\$8.95 2.05%	\$9.98 1.56%	\$7.99 0.97%	\$7.99 1.43%
<b>10</b>	\$8.99 2.11%	\$7.99 2.07%	\$6.95 1.79%	\$7.00 2.15%	\$5.99 1.47%	\$3.00 2.02%	\$9.94 1.51%	\$6.95 1.98%	\$9.90 1.44%	\$5.99 0.95%	\$4.95 1.42%

**Note:** Each cell contains the last three digits of prices and their proportions in the product category. Bold-marked prices in the first three rows indicate that they are in the top three frequent price endings in each category. The rightmost column includes all categories. The figures in each column are ordered from the most frequent ending to the least frequent ending.

**Table 4. Top 10 Highest Frequencies of Last Four Digits of Prices in the Internet Data**

Rank	CDs	DVDs	Video Games	SW	PDA's	Hard Drives	DVD Players	PC Monitors	Digital Cameras	Notebook PCs	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>\$14.99</b> 7.48%	<b>\$09.99</b> 2.66%	<b>\$19.99</b> 14.34%	<b>\$99.00</b> 3.54%	<b>\$49.00</b> 5.77%	<b>\$29.99</b> 1.30%	<b>\$99.99</b> 7.87%	<b>\$99.00</b> 5.98%	<b>\$99.99</b> 13.51%	<b>\$99.00</b> 27.47%	<b>\$99.99</b> 3.47%
<b>2</b>	<b>\$12.99</b> 4.90%	<b>\$13.99</b> 2.56%	<b>\$29.99</b> 10.47%	<b>\$99.95</b> 3.46%	<b>\$99.00</b> 5.76%	<b>\$59.99</b> 1.27%	<b>\$49.99</b> 3.72%	<b>\$99.99</b> 3.78%	<b>\$99.00</b> 9.02%	<b>\$49.00</b> 9.29%	<b>\$99.00</b> 3.46%
<b>3</b>	<b>\$11.99</b> 4.00%	<b>\$14.99</b> 2.31%	<b>\$49.99</b> 9.05%	<b>\$99.99</b> 3.33%	<b>\$99.99</b> 4.82%	<b>\$09.99</b> 1.09%	<b>\$19.99</b> 2.90%	<b>\$49.00</b> 1.89%	<b>\$99.95</b> 3.26%	<b>\$99.99</b> 8.00%	<b>\$19.99</b> 2.16%
<b>4</b>	\$13.99 3.57%	\$15.99 2.14%	\$39.99 3.21%	\$89.95 1.71%	\$59.00 2.44%	\$49.99 1.01%	\$99.00 2.35%	\$49.99 1.72%	\$49.99 3.18%	\$79.00 3.04%	\$49.99 2.00%
<b>5</b>	\$13.98 3.26%	\$15.98 2.03%	\$19.82 2.74%	\$49.95 1.50%	\$79.00 2.44%	\$59.00 0.91%	\$69.99 2.30%	\$29.00 1.62%	\$49.00 3.15%	\$99.98 2.84%	\$29.99 1.55%
<b>6</b>	\$15.99 2.43%	\$10.99 1.83%	\$18.95 2.11%	\$79.95 1.37%	\$49.99 2.41%	\$99.99 0.86%	\$49.00 1.87%	\$39.00 1.35%	\$29.00 1.99%	\$29.00 2.84%	\$49.00 1.43%
<b>7</b>	\$14.98 2.40%	\$11.98 1.44%	\$19.88 1.99%	\$19.00 1.35%	\$19.00 2.00%	\$79.99 0.86%	\$79.99 1.83%	\$59.00 1.27%	\$79.99 1.81%	\$29.99 2.17%	\$14.99 1.40%
<b>8</b>	\$10.99 1.89%	\$10.95 1.40%	\$17.99 1.33%	\$79.00 1.14%	\$19.99 1.59%	\$39.99 0.83%	\$39.99 1.65%	\$19.00 1.07%	\$79.00 1.62%	\$30.00 1.89%	\$99.95 1.09%
<b>9</b>	\$15.18 1.89%	\$16.99 1.39%	\$48.95 1.28%	\$89.00 1.1%	\$29.99 1.41%	\$79.00 0.73%	\$29.00 1.64%	\$69.00 1.04%	\$39.00 1.34%	\$19.99 1.55%	\$09.99 0.97%
<b>10</b>	\$7.99 1.85%	\$17.99 1.34%	\$49.95 1.24%	\$19.95 1.05%	\$39.00 1.34%	\$39.00 0.71%	\$79.00 1.62%	\$79.00 1.00%	\$69.00 1.32%	\$49.99 1.53%	\$79.00 0.87%

**Note:** Each cell contains the last four digits of prices and their proportions in the product category. Bold-marked prices in the first three rows indicate that they are in the top three frequent price endings in each category. The rightmost column includes all categories.

**Table 5. Top 10 Highest Frequencies of Price Changes in the Internet Data**

Rank	CDs	DVDs	Video Games	SW	PDA's	Hard Drives	DVD Players	PC Monitors	Digital Cameras	Notebook PCs	Total	3 Categories Removed
<b>1</b>	<b>\$1.00</b> 10.26%	<b>\$1.00</b> 7.73%	<b>\$10.00</b> 11.44%	<b>\$1.00</b> 6.78%	<b>\$10.00</b> 7.54%	<b>\$1.00</b> 10.03%	<b>\$10.00</b> 4.46%	<b>\$1.00</b> 3.29%	<b>\$10.00</b> 8.09%	<b>\$50.00</b> 11.30%	<b>\$1.00</b> 6.74%	<b>\$1.00</b> 5.63%
<b>2</b>	<b>\$0.10</b> 6.77%	<b>\$0.20</b> 3.42%	<b>\$1.00</b> 9.82%	<b>\$2.00</b> 5.15%	<b>\$5.00</b> 4.41%	<b>\$2.00</b> 7.54%	<b>\$20.00</b> 3.95%	<b>\$2.00</b> 3.29%	<b>\$20.00</b> 5.89%	<b>\$100.00</b> 7.63%	<b>\$2.00</b> 4.49%	<b>\$2.00</b> 4.66%
<b>3</b>	<b>\$2.00</b> 5.22%	<b>\$2.00</b> 3.40%	<b>\$5.00</b> 7.40%	<b>\$5.00</b> 4.11%	<b>\$2.00</b> 4.02%	<b>\$3.00</b> 5.55%	<b>\$30.00</b> 2.70%	<b>\$10.00</b> 3.27%	<b>\$4.00</b> 3.46%	<b>\$200.00</b> 3.97%	<b>\$10.00</b> 3.24%	<b>\$10.00</b> 4.31%
<b>4</b>	\$0.20 3.59%	\$0.01 2.34%	\$2.00 5.57%	\$10.00 3.76%	\$1.00 3.41%	\$4.00 4.00%	\$5.00 2.51%	\$3.00 3.02%	\$5.00 3.44%	\$20.00 3.05%	\$3.00 3.09%	\$3.00 3.60%
<b>5</b>	\$0.01 3.46%	\$0.09 2.30%	\$20.00 4.69%	\$3.00 3.56%	\$20.00 3.24%	\$5.00 3.98%	\$1.00 2.13%	\$5.00 2.23%	\$2.00 3.28%	\$10.00 2.44%	\$5.00 2.72%	\$5.00 3.38%
<b>6</b>	\$0.50 2.45%	\$0.10 2.29%	\$3.00 4.25%	\$4.00 3.04%	\$30.00 2.57%	\$10.00 2.83%	\$3.00 2.13%	\$4.00 1.91%	\$6.00 3.28%	\$60.00 2.14%	\$4.00 2.30%	\$4.00 2.90%
<b>7</b>	\$0.06 2.06%	\$3.00 2.21%	\$3.06 2.64%	\$20.00 2.44%	\$3.00 2.35%	\$6.00 2.10%	\$4.00 1.95%	\$6.00 1.83%	\$50.00 2.97%	\$30.00 1.98%	\$20.00 1.80%	\$20.00 2.56%
<b>8</b>	\$0.14 1.88%	\$0.30 1.79%	\$0.11 2.05%	\$6.00 2.19%	\$6.00 2.29%	\$7.00 1.84%	\$2.00 1.57%	\$20.00 1.61%	\$1.00 2.87%	\$40.00 1.83%	\$6.00 1.55%	\$6.00 2.18%
<b>9</b>	\$0.02 1.69%	\$0.08 1.31%	\$18.00 1.61%	\$0.01 2.03%	\$4.00 1.90%	\$8.00 1.08%	\$6.00 1.51%	\$30.00 1.54%	\$30.00 2.87%	\$150.00 1.83%	\$0.10 1.38%	\$30.00 1.50%
<b>10</b>	\$0.30 1.69%	\$0.50 1.26%	\$7.00 1.39%	\$8.00 1.54%	\$15.00 1.79%	\$20.00 1.06%	\$50.00 1.51%	\$7.00 1.44%	\$3.00 2.64%	\$70.00 1.68%	\$0.01 1.38%	\$7.00 1.47%

**Note:** The rightmost column shows the results after three product categories (CDs, DVDs, and video games) are left out. Bold-marked prices in the first three rows indicate that they are in the top three frequent price changes in each category.

Table 6. The Results of the Logit Regression (Equation 1) Estimation in Dominick's Data

Category	9¢-Ending				99¢-Ending			
	$D_9$ (9-Ending = 1)		$D_{Sale}$ (Sale = 1)		$D_{99}$ (9-Ending = 1)		$D_{Sale}$ (Sale = 1)	
	Coeff.	O/R	Coeff.	O/R	Coeff.	O/R	Coeff.	O/R
Analgesics	-0.6781	0.51	3.9829	52.63	-0.1847	0.83	3.9805	52.63
Bath Soap	-0.8155	0.44	4.6464	100.00	-0.2273	0.80	4.7925	125.00
Bathroom Tissues	-0.5036	0.60	3.6723	40.00	-0.3426	0.71	3.6795	40.00
Bottled Juices	-0.2891	0.75	4.1268	62.50	-0.2042	0.81	4.1422	62.50
Canned Soup	-0.1112	0.89	4.6189	100.00	-0.1629	0.85	4.6238	100.00
Canned Tuna	-0.5331	0.59	4.5788	100.00	-0.4714	0.62	4.5281	90.91
Cereals	-0.2558	0.77	4.7368	111.11	-0.1603	0.85	4.7239	111.11
Cheeses	-0.9142	0.40	3.8187	45.45	-0.6098	0.54	3.8378	45.45
Cookies	-0.8173	0.44	4.1490	62.50	-0.1876	0.83	4.2162	66.67
Crackers	-0.4412	0.64	4.0389	55.56	-0.0441	0.96	4.1185	62.50
Dish Detergent	-0.6283	0.53	4.7074	111.11	-0.6024	0.55	4.7350	111.11
Fabric Softeners	-0.3779	0.69	4.6161	100.00	-0.1980	0.82	4.5797	100.00
Front-end-candies	-0.4477	0.64	4.8119	125.00	-1.3781	0.25	4.8630	125.00
Frozen Dinners	-0.5808	0.56	3.5407	34.48	-0.4377	0.65	3.7235	41.67
Frozen Entrees	-0.5642	0.57	3.2641	26.32	-0.1291	0.88	3.4461	31.25
Frozen Juices	-0.2451	0.78	3.9482	52.63	-0.1008	0.90	3.9182	50.00
Grooming Products	-0.9030	0.41	3.3588	28.57	-0.2406	0.79	3.6612	38.46
Laundry Detergents	-0.5783	0.56	4.1731	66.67	-0.1446	0.87	4.1543	62.50
Oatmeal	-0.5805	0.56	4.1839	66.67	-0.2548	0.78	4.1707	66.67
Paper Towels	-0.5186	0.60	4.3241	76.92	-0.1546	0.86	4.2669	71.43
Refrigerated Juices	-0.5042	0.60	3.6385	38.46	-0.2908	0.75	3.6428	38.46
Shampoos	-0.7868	0.46	3.1548	23.26	-0.2957	0.74	3.3005	27.03
Snack Crackers	-0.8517	0.43	3.8756	47.62	-0.3930	0.68	4.1214	62.50
Soaps	-0.6709	0.51	4.2641	71.43	-0.3583	0.70	4.2807	71.43
Soft Drinks	-0.6709	0.51	4.2641	71.43	-0.3583	0.70	4.2807	71.43
Tooth Brushes	-0.3154	0.73	3.6447	38.46	-0.0709	0.93	3.6285	37.04
Tooth Pastes	-0.2343	0.79	3.7560	43.48	-0.2760	0.76	3.7405	41.67
Average		0.59		64.90		0.76		66.83

Note:  $D_9$  (or  $D_{99}$ ) is 9-ending dummy variable, which equals 1 if the price ends with 9 (or 99) and 0 otherwise.  $D_{Sale}$  is a sale dummy, which equals 1 if the product is on sale in the given week and 0 otherwise. All  $p$ -values are less than 0.0001. The average odds ratios (O/R) reported in the last row of the table are the simple averages of the odds ratios for each product category.

Table 7. The Results of the Logit Regression (Equation 1) Estimation in the Internet

## Data

Category	9¢-Endings	99¢-Endings	\$9-Endings	\$99-Endings	\$9.99-Endings	\$99.99-Endings
<b>Music CDs</b>	-0.0743** (0.9284)	-0.5085*** (0.6014)	<i>-0.0174</i> (0.9827)		-0.4283** (0.6516)	
<b>Movie DVDs</b>	-0.5036*** (0.6043)	-0.6154*** (0.5404)	-0.2596*** (0.7714)		-0.7835*** (0.4568)	
<b>Video Games</b>	<i>0.1087*</i> (1.1148)	<i>0.0558</i> (1.0573)	-0.2951*** (0.7445)		-0.2779*** (0.7574)	
<b>Software</b>	-0.3011*** (0.7400)	-0.4889*** (0.6133)	-0.6148*** (0.5407)	-1.0667*** (0.3441)	-0.9012*** (0.4061)	-1.3153*** (0.2684)
<b>PDA's</b>	-0.2553*** (0.7747)	-0.3586*** (0.6986)	-0.4654*** (0.6279)	-0.7911*** (0.4533)	-0.5418*** (0.5817)	-1.1819*** (0.3067)
<b>Hard Drives</b>	-0.2806*** (0.7553)	-0.3698*** (0.6909)	-0.4711*** (0.6243)	-0.6199*** (0.5380)	-0.6796*** (0.5068)	-0.5254*** (0.5913)
<b>DVD Players</b>	-0.4939*** (0.6102)	-0.5763*** (0.5620)	-0.6695*** (0.5120)	-0.6790*** (0.5071)	-0.6389*** (0.5279)	-0.8103*** (0.4447)
<b>PC Monitors</b>	-0.2729*** (0.7612)	-0.4617*** (0.6302)	-0.5507*** (0.5766)	-0.8433*** (0.4303)	-0.8375*** (0.4328)	-1.2927*** (0.2745)
<b>Digital Cameras</b>	-0.4389*** (0.6447)	-0.4933*** (0.6106)	-0.5297*** (0.5888)	-1.1229*** (0.3253)	-0.5879*** (0.5500)	-1.4480*** (0.2350)
<b>Notebook PCs</b>	-0.5566*** (0.5731)	-0.8885*** (0.4113)	-1.0680*** (0.3437)	-0.7654*** (0.4652)	-0.9528*** (0.3856)	-1.1891*** (0.3045)
<b>Total</b>	-0.3690*** (0.6914)	-0.5703*** (0.5653)	-0.6472*** (0.5235)	-1.0179*** (0.3613)	-0.8761*** (0.4164)	-1.3528*** (0.2585)

**Note:** Each cell contains a coefficient and odds ratio in parenthesis; significance levels: \*\*\* < 0.01, \*\* < 0.05, \* < 0.10. The estimated coefficients in *italics* indicate unsupportive results.

Table 8: Average Size of Price Change in Dominick's Data:

## 9¢-Ending vs. Non 9¢-Ending Prices

Category	9-ending		Not 9-ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample size	Mean Price Change	Sample size			
Analgesics	\$0.7625	367,969	\$0.4672	102,550	0.173	76.47	0.000
Bath Soap	\$0.5786	58,735	\$0.5473	18,298	0.019	64.41	0.000
Bathroom Tissues	\$0.2499	156,863	\$0.2260	184,414	0.031	210.19	0.000
Bottled Juices	\$0.3121	457,490	\$0.2650	583,025	0.060	255.92	0.000
Canned Soup	\$0.2196	304,439	\$0.1948	741,357	0.033	162.99	0.000
Canned Tuna	\$0.1946	170,023	\$0.1421	281,703	0.091	268.59	0.000
Cereals	\$0.5010	271,757	\$0.4701	494,597	0.027	-153.45	0.000
Cheeses	\$0.2943	872,489	\$0.2128	1,039,738	0.122	505.32	0.000
Cookies	\$0.4947	1,135,112	\$0.3656	709,697	0.129	359.98	0.000
Crackers	\$0.2964	283,278	\$0.2366	279,353	0.098	317.30	0.000
Dish Detergent	\$0.2798	240,532	\$0.2119	183,222	0.133	392.69	0.000
Fabric Softeners	\$0.3955	212,288	\$0.2597	191,319	0.168	210.90	0.000
<i>Front-end-candies*</i>	<i>\$0.1454</i>	<i>137,453</i>	<i>\$0.2164</i>	<i>385,234</i>	<i>-0.113</i>	<i>86.40</i>	<i>0.000</i>
<i>Frozen Dinners*</i>	<i>\$0.5008</i>	<i>230,423</i>	<i>\$0.5452</i>	<i>336,201</i>	<i>-0.033</i>	<i>-109.08</i>	<i>0.000</i>
<i>Frozen Entrees*</i>	<i>\$0.7031</i>	<i>883,284</i>	<i>\$0.7551</i>	<i>1,183,557</i>	<i>-0.029</i>	<i>-432.43</i>	<i>0.000</i>
<i>Frozen Juices*</i>	<i>\$0.2567</i>	<i>301,114</i>	<i>\$0.2816</i>	<i>395,344</i>	<i>-0.029</i>	<i>203.22</i>	<i>0.000</i>
Grooming Products	\$0.6285	1,017,513	\$0.4849	287,969	0.085	266.89	0.000
Laundry Detergents	\$0.9036	446,767	\$0.5548	210,342	0.194	-103.55	0.000
Oatmeal	\$0.4239	72,753	\$0.4115	107,971	0.012	-8.37	0.000
Paper Towels	\$0.1913	109,596	\$0.1702	152,846	0.030	205.91	0.000
Refrigerated Juices	\$0.3780	405,144	\$0.2987	418,402	0.115	243.81	0.000
Shampoos	\$1.4476	1,916,061	\$1.0888	238,976	0.065	-440.40	0.000
Snack Crackers	\$0.3251	488,341	\$0.2903	405,005	0.047	371.01	0.000
Soaps	\$0.3147	180,935	\$0.1700	190,632	0.218	280.21	0.000
Soft Drinks	\$1.0409	4,614,455	\$0.6155	1,219,151	0.140	-311.91	0.000
Tooth Brushes	\$0.5063	350,705	\$0.3653	123,840	0.191	376.47	0.000
Tooth Pastes	\$0.4255	468,688	\$0.3497	291,045	0.108	340.88	0.000
<b>Total</b>	\$0.7452	16,154,207	\$0.4033	10,755,788	0.181	-44.00	0.000
<b>Average</b>	\$0.4730		\$0.3777				
<b>Median</b>	\$0.3955		\$0.2987				

**Note:** Categories with unresponsive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_{26} = 3.911, p = .001$ ).

**Table 9: Average Size of Price Change in Dominick's Data:  
99¢-Ending vs. Non 99¢-Ending Prices**

Category	9-ending		Not 9-ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample size	Mean Price Change	Sample size			
Analgesics	\$0.8931	106,038	\$0.6415	\$0.8931	0.149	-424.68	0.000
Bath Soap	\$0.7149	15,608	\$0.5346	\$0.7149	0.102	-130.63	0.000
Bathroom Tissues	\$0.3302	36,944	\$0.2257	\$0.3302	0.085	-159.40	0.000
Bottled Juices	\$0.3760	104,451	\$0.2756	\$0.3760	0.077	-397.80	0.000
Canned Soup	\$0.2703	56,527	\$0.1981	\$0.2703	0.048	-378.08	0.000
Canned Tuna	\$0.3303	19,566	\$0.1543	\$0.3303	0.128	-246.09	0.000
Cereals	\$0.6374	56,437	\$0.4686	\$0.6374	0.080	-602.23	0.000
Cheeses	\$0.3563	160,237	\$0.2403	\$0.3563	0.097	-557.70	0.000
Cookies	\$0.5612	270,448	\$0.4251	\$0.5612	0.099	-707.64	0.000
Crackers	\$0.4902	62,297	\$0.2489	\$0.4902	0.165	-292.33	0.000
Dish Detergent	\$0.3273	52,117	\$0.2397	\$0.3273	0.113	-211.97	0.000
Fabric Softeners	\$0.5585	62,370	\$0.2896	\$0.5585	0.241	-237.44	0.000
Front-end-candies	\$0.2326	11,923	\$0.1969	\$0.2326	0.019	-405.47	0.000
Frozen Dinners	\$0.5585	56,617	\$0.5237	\$0.5585	0.016	-449.31	0.000
<i>Frozen Entrees*</i>	<i>\$0.7229</i>	<i>188,496</i>	<i>\$0.7339</i>	<i>\$0.7229</i>	<i>-0.004</i>	<i>-1002.78</i>	<i>0.000</i>
Frozen Juices	\$0.2794	67,862	\$0.2699	\$0.2794	0.007	-279.32	0.000
Grooming Products	\$0.6756	247,298	\$0.5785	\$0.6756	0.054	-595.05	0.000
Laundry Detergents	\$1.1475	158,974	\$0.6785	\$1.1475	0.239	-527.15	0.000
Oatmeal	\$0.5420	12,921	\$0.4068	\$0.5420	0.068	-261.98	0.000
Paper Towels	\$0.3555	15,137	\$0.1682	\$0.3555	0.126	-158.70	0.000
Refrigerated Juices	\$0.4874	101,063	\$0.3168	\$0.4874	0.162	-447.38	0.000
Shampoos	\$1.6000	503,157	\$1.3492	\$1.6000	0.062	-987.01	0.000
Snack Crackers	\$0.3673	97,690	\$0.3022	\$0.3673	0.055	-403.24	0.000
Soaps	\$0.3907	43,874	\$0.2203	\$0.3907	0.166	-176.49	0.000
Soft Drinks	\$1.2138	1,385,935	\$0.8704	\$1.2138	0.118	-1370.09	0.000
Tooth Brushes	\$0.5972	108,407	\$0.4317	\$0.5972	0.215	-351.86	0.000
Tooth Pastes	\$0.5097	117,086	\$0.3758	\$0.5097	0.141	-457.98	0.000
<b>Total</b>	\$0.9156	4,119,480	\$0.5532	\$0.9156	0.143	-2494.28	0.000
<b>Average</b>	\$0.5750		\$0.4209				
<b>Median</b>	\$0.5097		\$0.3168				

**Note:** Categories with unsupportive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_{26} = 7.657, p = .000$ ).



**Table 10: Average Size of Price Change in Internet Data:  
9¢-Ending vs. Non 9¢-Ending Prices**

Category	9¢-Ending		Non 9¢-Ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample Size	Mean Price Change	Sample Size			
Music CDs	\$1.30	2,268	\$1.01	2,352	0.097	29.45	0.000
Movie DVDs	\$2.71	2,813	\$1.68	5,888	0.122	40.16	0.000
Video Games	\$8.12	832	\$6.95	532	0.075	34.55	0.000
Software	\$14.94	778	\$13.51	4,751	0.014	27.60	0.000
<i>PDA*s*</i>	<i>\$22.30</i>	<i>355</i>	<i>\$25.86</i>	<i>1,436</i>	<i>-0.039</i>	<i>28.88</i>	<i>0.000</i>
Hard Drives	\$27.65	1,435	\$14.29	5,517	0.097	25.10	0.000
DVD Players	\$36.02	383	\$28.43	1,210	0.065	24.07	0.000
PC Monitors	\$41.35	809	\$28.45	5,150	0.072	37.83	0.000
Digital Cameras	\$45.76	852	\$36.97	3,018	0.046	30.60	0.000
<i>Notebook PCs*</i>	<i>\$86.42</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>\$97.58</i>	<i>563</i>	<i>-0.031</i>	<i>19.57</i>	<i>0.000</i>
<b>Total*</b>	<i>\$16.08</i>	<i>10,617</i>	<i>17.87</i>	<i>30,417</i>	<i>-0.016</i>	<i>69.30</i>	<i>0.000</i>
<b>Average</b>	\$28.66		\$25.47				
<b>Median</b>	\$25.00		\$20.00				

**Note:** Categories with unsupportive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_9 = 1.324, p = .10$ ).

**Table 11. Average Size of Price Change in Internet Data:  
99¢-Ending vs. Non 99¢-Ending Prices**

Category	99¢-Ending		Non 99¢-Ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample Size	Mean Price Change	Sample Size			
Music CDs	\$1.95	1,142	\$0.89	3,478	0.305	43.25	0.000
Movie DVDs	\$3.39	1,532	\$1.72	7,169	0.160	43.81	0.000
Video Games	\$8.45	744	\$6.72	620	0.113	34.96	0.000
Software	\$16.58	553	\$13.39	4,976	0.026	27.69	0.000
<i>PDA*s*</i>	<i>\$23.74</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>\$25.44</i>	<i>1,491</i>	<i>-0.017</i>	<i>28.92</i>	<i>0.000</i>
Hard Drives	\$30.28	1,083	\$14.60	5,869	0.102	25.17	0.000
DVD Players	\$39.32	329	\$27.90	1,264	0.093	24.10	0.000
PC Monitors	\$48.86	544	\$28.33	5,415	0.096	37.89	0.000
Digital Cameras	\$47.53	852	\$36.78	3,018	0.054	30.62	0.000
Notebook PCs	\$103.15	64	\$95.24	591	0.019	19.58	0.000
<b>Total</b>	\$20.59	7,056	\$16.75	33,978	0.029	69.68	0.000
<b>Average</b>	\$32.33		\$25.10				
<b>Median</b>	\$27.00		\$20.00				

**Note:** Categories with unsupportive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_9 = 3.148, p = .006$ ).

**Table 12. Average Size of Price Change in Internet Data:  
\$9-Endings vs. Non \$9-Endings**

Category	\$9-Ending		Non \$9-Ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample Size	Mean Price Change	Sample Size			
<i>Music CDs*</i>	<i>\$1.04</i>	587	<i>\$1.17</i>	4,033	-0.030	45.25	0.000
Movie DVDs	\$3.20	926	\$1.87	7,775	0.104	45.20	0.000
Video Games	\$9.01	659	\$6.41	705	0.172	35.40	0.000
Software	\$20.38	1,347	\$11.56	4,182	0.104	27.42	0.000
PDAs	\$31.66	710	\$20.88	1,081	0.144	28.72	0.000
Hard Drives	\$19.88	1,169	\$16.47	5,783	0.023	25.14	0.000
DVD Players	\$42.22	641	\$22.21	952	0.197	23.97	0.000
PC Monitors	\$53.71	1,436	\$22.74	4,523	0.216	37.74	0.000
Digital Cameras	\$48.29	1,899	\$29.86	1,971	0.117	30.41	0.000
Notebook PCs	\$126.22	344	\$62.61	311	0.254	19.52	0.000
<b>Total</b>	\$33.13	9,718	\$12.53	31,316	0.175	69.50	0.000
<b>Average</b>	\$38.56		\$19.58				
<b>Median</b>	\$26.00		\$18.70				

**Note:** Categories with unsupportive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_9 = 2.598, p = .01$ ).

**Table 13. Average Size of Price Change in Internet Data:  
\$9.99-Endings vs. Non \$9.99-Endings**

Category	\$9.99-Ending		Non \$9.99-Ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample Size	Mean Price Change	Sample Size			
Music CDs	\$2.52	76	\$1.13	4,544	0.118	52.01	0.000
Movie DVDs	\$5.82	188	\$1.93	8,513	0.143	47.19	0.000
Video Games	\$9.62	433	\$6.75	931	0.176	36.21	0.000
Software	\$22.93	186	\$13.39	5,343	0.047	27.82	0.000
PDAs	\$26.86	170	\$24.97	1,621	0.015	29.02	0.000
Hard Drives	\$32.40	335	\$16.27	6,617	0.062	25.32	0.000
DVD Players	\$48.23	219	\$27.40	1,374	0.144	24.16	0.000
PC Monitors	\$72.98	247	\$28.35	5,712	0.145	37.95	0.000
Digital Cameras	\$53.91	566	\$36.34	3,304	0.079	30.67	0.000
Notebook PCs	\$110.03	47	\$94.93	608	0.031	19.59	0.000
<b>Total</b>	\$36.24	2,467	\$16.20	38,567	0.095	70.15	0.000
<b>Average</b>	\$38.53		\$25.15				
<b>Median</b>	\$29.60		\$20.60				

**Note:** Categories with unsupportive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_9 = 3.224, p = .005$ ).

**Table 14. Average Size of Price Change in Internet Data:  
\$99-Endings vs. Non \$99-Endings**

Category	\$99-Ending		Non \$99-Ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample Size	Mean Price Change	Sample Size			
Music CDs	N/A	0	\$1.15	4,620			NA
Movie DVDs	\$6.47	60	\$1.98	8,641	0.094	47.40	0.000
Video Games	N/A	0	\$7.66	1,669			NA
Software	\$23.09	251	\$13.26	5,278	0.056	27.80	0.000
PDA's	\$46.75	122	\$23.57	1,669	0.160	29.08	0.000
Hard Drives	\$26.70	137	\$16.85	6,815	0.024	25.36	0.000
DVD Players	\$58.98	132	\$27.66	1,461	0.173	24.21	0.000
PC Monitors	\$98.11	332	\$26.19	5,627	0.269	37.96	0.000
Digital Cameras	\$85.88	476	\$32.32	3,394	0.224	30.70	0.000
Notebook PCs	\$144.42	161	\$80.24	494	0.221	19.57	0.000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$71.83</b>	<b>1,671</b>	<b>\$15.10</b>	<b>39,363</b>	<b>0.224</b>	<b>70.26</b>	<b>0.000</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>\$61.30</b>		<b>\$23.09</b>				
<b>Median</b>	<b>\$52.90</b>		<b>\$20.20</b>				

**Note:** Categories with unresponsive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_7 = 3.598, p = .004$ ).

**Table 15. Average Size of Price Change in Internet Data:  
\$99.99-Endings vs. Non \$99.99-Endings**

Category	\$99.99-Ending		Non \$99.99-Ending		Corr.	t-Stat	P-Value
	Mean Price Change	Sample Size	Mean Price Change	Sample Size			
Music CDs	N/A	0	\$1.15	4,620			NA
Movie DVDs	\$12.01	24	\$1.99	8,677	0.133	47.50	0.000
Video Games	N/A	0	\$7.66	1,364			NA
Software	\$20.06	37	\$13.66	5,492	0.014	27.87	0.000
PDA's	\$30.80	24	\$25.04	1,757	0.021	29.10	0.000
Hard Drives	\$34.75	36	\$16.95	6,916	0.023	25.38	0.000
DVD Players	\$73.51	56	\$28.68	1,537	0.166	24.24	0.000
PC Monitors	\$112.24	64	\$29.31	5,895	0.139	37.98	0.000
Digital Cameras	\$83.74	139	\$37.24	3,731	0.110	30.75	0.000
Notebook PCs	\$144.12	17	\$94.73	638	0.063	19.60	0.000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$70.56</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>\$16.87</b>	<b>40,627</b>	<b>0.106</b>	<b>70.33</b>	<b>0.000</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>\$63.90</b>		<b>\$25.64</b>				
<b>Median</b>	<b>\$54.10</b>		<b>\$20.00</b>				

**Note:** Categories with unresponsive results are indicated by \* and *italic*. Corr is the correlation between 9-ending prices and the size of price change. P-Value is a significance level derived from a paired-samples t-test. Cross-category paired t-tests showed that the price changes are of a larger magnitude when prices end with 9 ( $t_7 = 3.390, p = .006$ ).

Figure 1. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit in the Dominick's Data




Figure 2. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits in the Dominick's Data




Figure 3. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit in the Internet Data




Figure 4. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits in the Internet Data




Figure 5. Frequency Distribution of the Last Dollar Digit in the Internet Data






Figure 6. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Dollar Digits in the Internet Data



**Figure 7. Frequency Distribution of the Price Changes in the Dominick's Data**



**Figure 8. Price of Frozen Concentrate Orange Juice, Heritage House, 12oz  
(UPC = 3828190029, Store No. 78), September 14, 1989 – May 8, 1997  
(Source: Dutta, et. al. 2002)**





Figure 9. Demand Curve under Rational Inattention





## Reviewer's Appendix

### **Table of Contents**

#### ***A. Results on Price Endings for Individual Product Categories in Dominick's and Internet Data***

Similar to the aggregate results reported in the paper, the following figures show that 9¢ and 99¢ are the most popular price-endings for most of the individual product categories in both Dominick's data and our Internet data.

Figures R1a–R1c. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit by Product Category - Dominick's: pp. 2–4

Figures R2a–R2c. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits by Product Category - Dominick's: pp. 5-7

Figure R3. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit by Product Category - Internet Data: p. 8

Figure R4. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits by Product Category - Internet Data: p. 9

Figure R5. Frequency Distribution of the Last Dollar Digit by Product Category - Internet Data: p. 10

Figure R6. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Dollar Digits by Product Category - Internet Data: p. 11

#### ***B. Results on Price Changes for Individual Product Categories in Dominick's Data***

Similar to the aggregate results reported in the paper and the results for individual product categories in our Internet data in Table 5, the following figures show that price changes in multiples of dimes are most common among all price changes in Dominick's data.

Figures R7a–R7c. Frequency Distribution of the Price Changes by Category - Dominick's: pp. 12–14

#### ***C. Sample Price Series for Our Internet data***

The following figures provide sample price series for ten randomly selected products, one from each of the ten product categories in our Internet data. All data are for 743 days in the period from March 26, 2005 to April 15, 2005.

Figure R8a. Price of a CD (Product# 3, Store# 194): p. 15

Figure R8b. Price of a DVD (Product# 23, Store# 194): p. 15

Figure R8c. Price of a Notebook PC (Product# 422, Store# 258): p. 16

Figure R8d. Price of a Hard Drive (Product# 71, Store# 324): p. 16

Figure R8e. Price of a DVD Player (Product# 262, Store# 230): p. 17

Figure R8f. Price of a Digital Camera (Product# 273, Store# 108): p. 17


Figure R8g. Price of a PC Monitor (Product# 189, Store# 17): p. 18

Figure R8h. Price of a PDA (Product# 490, Store# 207): p. 18


Figure R8i. Price of a Software (Product# 96, Store# 292): p. 19

Figure R8j. Price of a Video Game (Product# 205, Store# 68): p. 19


**Figure R1a. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit by Product Category - Dominick's**




**Figure R1b. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit by Product Category - Dominick's**




**Figure R1c. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit by Product Category - Dominick's**












**Figure R2a. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits by Product Category - Dominick's**




**Figure R2b. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits by Product Category - Dominick's**



**Figure R2c. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits by Product Category - Dominick's**




**Figure R3. Frequency Distribution of the Last Digit by Product Category - Internet Data**















**Figure R4. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Digits by Product Category - Internet Data**




**Figure R5. Frequency Distribution of the Last Dollar Digit by Product Category - Internet Data**













**Figure R6. Frequency Distribution of the Last Two Dollar Digits by Product Category - Internet Data**












**Figure R7a. Frequency Distribution of the Price Changes by Category - Dominick's**



**Figure R7b. Frequency Distribution of the Price Changes by Category - Dominick's**




**Figure R7c. Frequency Distribution of the Price Changes by Category - Dominick's**




**Figure R8a. Price of a CD (Product# 3, Store# 194)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**




**Figure R8b. Price of a DVD (Product# 23, Store# 194)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**



**Figure R8c. Price of a Notebook PC (Product# 422, Store# 258)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**




**Figure R8d. Price of a Hard Drive (Product# 71, Store# 324)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**







**Figure R8e. Price of a DVD Player (Product# 262, Store# 230)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**




**Figure R8f. Price of a Digital Camera (Product# 273, Store# 108)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**




**Figure R8g. Price of a PC Monitor (Product# 189, Store# 17)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**



**Figure R8h. Price of a PDA (Product# 490, Store# 207)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**



**Figure R8i. Price of a Software (Product# 96, Store# 292)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**



**Figure R8j. Price of a Video Game (Product# 205, Store# 68)  
743 Days (March 26, 2003 – April 15, 2005)**

